

Manuscript Number:

Manuscript Title:

1- Does the topic reflect the content and purpose of the paper?

☐1 No ☐2 Yes with minor editing ☐3 Yes

2- Does the abstract accurately inform the contents of the paper?

☐1 No ☐2 Yes with minor editing ☐3 Yes

3- Is the total length of the manuscript in keeping with its content?

☐1 No ☐2 Yes

4-Does the introduction include the goals of the paper?

☐1 No ☐2 Yes with minor editing ☐3 Yes

4- Are the tables and figures clear, all necessary, and well labeled?

☐1 No ☐2 Yes with minor editing ☐3 Yes

5- How do you evaluate the outcomes of the paper?

☐1 Low quality ☐2 Acceptable quality ☐3 Good quality

6- Paper's conclusions evaluation

☐1 Acceptable (Need some editing) ☐2 Minor editing required ☐3 Major editing required ☐4 Rejected

7- Paper's references evaluation

☐1 Acceptable ☐2 Minor editing required ☐3 Major editing required ☐4 Rejected

8- How do you evaluate the paper's language?

☐1 Acceptable ☐2 Acceptable with minor editing ☐3 Major editing required ☐4 Rejected

9- What is the overall evaluation of the paper?

☐1 Acceptable ☐2 Acceptable with minor editing ☐3 Major editing is required ☐4 Rejected

10- Do you want to get the paper back after doing the amendments/changes?

☐ 1 Yes ☐ 2 No

Please consider the following points if possible:

Comments in the form of texts can be entered into the comment field (comments for authors and those for editors only can be entered into two different sections. You can also upload edited files.

Comments for Editor (which will not be visible to the author/s)

Helpful comments for authors

Reviewer Information:

Name:

Address:

Email:

Date: