

Evaluation of Some Biochemical Parameters in Patients With Metabolic Syndrome

Rasha Hasan Jasim Elham Abed Mahdi

Department of chemistry-Faculty of Education for Girls-University of Kufa-Iraq

dr.rashahussainee@yahoo.com

Ilhama.aljuburi@uokufa.edu.iq

Abstract

Background: Metabolic syndrome is the medical term for a cluster of metabolic abnormalities that increases in individuals risk of diabetic mellitus type 2 (T2DM) and cardiovascular diseases(CVD). [ENREF 1](#) The components of MS are glucose intolerance, obesity, hypertension and dyslipidemia. An insulin resistance is the key phase of metabolic syndrome constitutes the major risk factor for the development of diabetes mellitus.

Objectives: The present study aimed to comprise insulin resistance values among three study groups.

Subjects: The present study included 50 metabolic syndrome patients, 50 cases who suffered at least one of the metabolic syndrome symptoms as pathological control, finally 50 individuals as healthy control.

Methods: Fasting insulin, hemoglobin A_{1C} (HbA_{1C}), fasting blood glucose and the lipid profile included total cholesterol TC, triglyceride TG and high density lipoprotein cholesterol HDL- and low density lipoprotein cholesterol LDL-C concentrations were determined in present study using a different available kits.

Results: current work showed a highly significant variations among study groups, no significant differences were shown when the comparison was carried out between two genders of the same subgroups.

Keywords: *Metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, glucose intolerance, hypertension, lipid profile.*

Introduction

The original description of the metabolic syndrome by Reaven¹ consisted of obesity, insulin resistance, hypertension, impaired glucose tolerance or diabetes, hyperinsulinemia and dyslipidemia characterized by elevated triglyceride, and low HDL concentrations²⁻⁴. All of the features described above are risk factors for atherosclerosis, and thus, metabolic syndrome constituted a significant risk for coronary heart disease. The features of obesity/overweight and insulin resistance also provided a significant risk for developing type 2 diabetes^{5, 6}. The risks for coronary heart disease and diabetes with metabolic syndrome are greater than those for simple obesity alone⁷.

Metabolic syndrome is quite common. Approximately 32% of the population in the U.S. has metabolic syndrome, and about 85% of those with type 2 diabetes have metabolic syndrome^{8, 9} [ENREF 8](#). Around 25% of adults in Europe and Latin America are estimated to have the condition, and rates are rising in developing East Asian⁹. Genetics and the environment both play important roles in the development of the metabolic syndrome, genetic factors influence each individual component of the syndrome, and the syndrome itself. A family history that includes type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and early heart disease greatly increases the chance that an individual will develop the metabolic syndrome¹⁰. Environmental issues such as low activity level, sedentary lifestyle¹¹, and progressive weight gain by eating an excessively high carbohydrate diet also contribute significantly to the risk of developing the metabolic syndrome¹², additionally others factor include: Post-menopausal women and Smoking¹³.

Metabolic syndrome is associated with fat accumulation in the liver (fatty liver) resulting in inflammation and the potential for cirrhosis¹⁴. The kidneys can also be affected, as there is an association with microalbuminuria (the leaking of protein into the urine), a subtle but clear indication of kidney damage¹⁵. Other problems associated with metabolic syndrome include obstructive sleep apnea¹⁶, polycystic ovary syndrome¹⁷, increased risk of dementia with aging, and cognitive decline in the elderly¹⁸.

Insulin resistance is a key step of metabolic syndrome, which constitutes the main risk factor for the development of diabetes mellitus^{13, 19-21}. Thus, hyperinsulinemia, glucose intolerance, type 2 diabetes, hypertriglyceridemia, and low HDL concentration could be accounted for by resistance to the action of insulin on carbohydrate and lipid metabolism^{2, 5, 21}.

Subjects and Design

During six months ago 50 patients (59.04 years with age range 38) with metabolic syndrome, 50 pathological control (52.06 years with age range 34) and 50 healthy controls (52.39 years with age range 33) were enrolled in the present study. Groups of the present research were classified into two groups according to their gender. The participated patients were collected from Diabetes Glands Deaf Center in Al-Sadder Medical City in Al-Najaf Al-Ashraf governorate, Iraq.

Initial diagnosis was performed by specialist physicians who depended on definition of metabolic syndrome requiring the presence of five criteria: elevated fasting glucose (≥ 100 mg/dL), elevated blood pressure (systolic ≥ 130 mmHg and/or diastolic ≥ 85 mmHg), reduced HDL-cholesterol (< 40 mg/dL), elevated triglycerides (≥ 150 mg/dL) and elevated body mass index (BMI) > 30 ²² and through several of clinical and laboratory tests specialist for metabolic syndrome. The individuals as pathological controls suffered at least one of metabolic syndrome symptoms. Selection of healthy individual as a control group based on several criteria; included: an absence of major medical or surgical illness in the previous 5 years, no hospital admissions, no current medication, and a subjective perception of good health as determined by health questionnaire, additionally women who not pregnant or breast feeding.

More than, control group might at approximate age range with the patients group, no smoking, no alcohol drinking with similar food style to patients group. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as the ratio of weight (Kilogram) to the square of height (meters). Obesity and overweight were classified according to WHO criteria²³ [13]. A person was considered obese if the BMI value was ≥ 30 kg/m², overweight if BMI ≥ 25 Kg/m² and < 30 Kg/m². Blood pressure was measured using an automatic BP device.

Samples Collection

Five milliliters of venous blood samples were collected from the patients and healthy individuals, after fasting period more than eight hours. Samples were allowed to clot at lab temperature, centrifuged at 5000xg for 5 minutes. Sera were collected and stored at -18°C until used.

Methods

Fasting insulin was measured using Sandwich-ELISA kit of Calbiotech²⁴ company, USA.

Determination of hemoglobin A_{1c} (HbA_{1c}) values by using kits of Stanbio laboratory company, USA^{25, 26}. Colorimetric method was applied for estimating fasting blood glucose using a kit of Spinreact, Spain²⁷. The lipid profile included total cholesterol TC, triglyceride TG and high density lipoprotein cholesterol HDL- and low density lipoprotein cholesterol LDL-C concentrations were determined using a commercial available kits of Bilbao company, France.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis of the result obtained in the present study was carried out using the 22th edition of the statistical package for the social science (SPSS). The result were expressed in terms of Mean $\pm$ Standard Deviation (Mean $\pm$ S.D.). The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the results of the three groups included in the study, as well the subgroups based on gender differences. Comparison between among studied parameters were done using persons correlation test. The result were statistically significant at 5% probability ($p < 0.05$).

Result and Discussion

The current study included 150 individuals classified in three groups including: 50 patients suffered from metabolic syndrome (the first group). The second group included 50 pathological control persons, and the last group included the healthy individuals who were selected to participate in the current study as a control group based on the strict criteria established in the questionnaire which prepared by specialist. The current study aims for comparison the changes of insulin resistance values in patients with metabolic syndrome, pathological and healthy control taking into account differences in age, gender, and body mass index (BMI), as well as the relationship between insulin resistance values and other metabolic disorders in metabolic syndrome.

In order to investigate the most age-matched cases of metabolic syndrome in both genders, the study samples were classified based on their gender.

The present study showed the absence of the difference between females and males in healthy and pathological control groups, but there are significant variation ($p = 0.033$) between male and female in metabolic syndrome group was recorded, as illustrated in **table 1**. The present finding agreed with the study which mentioned to fact that the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome rise with age, reaching peak levels in the sixth decade for men and the seventh decade for women²⁸. It suggested that the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome for Mexican American men was significantly higher at 40, 50, 60, and 80 years or older. Occurrence of overweight and obesity are key related factors in the development of visceral adiposity, insulin resistance, dyslipidemias, high blood pressure, and impaired glucose metabolism. In addition, aging is associated with evolution of insulin resistance, other hormonal alterations, and increases in visceral adipose tissue,²⁹ all of which are important in the pathogenesis of the metabolic syndrome.

Table 1: The age (year) in study groups according to their gender

Subjects (n)	Gender (n)	Age (Year) Mean $\pm$ SD	Min-Max Age (Year)	Age Range (Year)	p-value
Healthy Control 50	Female 24	52.71 $\pm$ 9.594	43-70	27	0.902For 1vs2 0.931For 1vs3 0.115For 1vs5
	Male 26	52.3 $\pm$ 10.116	38-73	35	
Pathological Control 50	Female 27	52.48 $\pm$ 8.107	40-70	30	0.679For 2vs4 0.000For 2vs6 0.651For 3vs4 0.086For 3vs5
	Male 23	51.57 $\pm$ 10.693	36-69	33	
MS Patients 50	Female 30	56.73 $\pm$ 7.683	44-81	37	0.000For 4vs6 0.033For 5vs6
	Male 20	62.50 $\pm$ 9.512	38-71	33	

1: healthy female control. 2: healthy male control, 3: female pathological control, 4: male pathological control, 5: female metabolic syndrome, and 6: male metabolic syndrome. The mean difference is significant at 0.05 level

Almost of the participants with MS were obese ($\text{BMI} \geq 30$) as compared to healthy control ($\text{BMI} \leq 25$) with a large waist circumference characteristic accumulation of the lipid layer in the abdomen (apple pattern), meaning they were classified as obese individuals.

The outcomes showed significant differences ($p=0.000$) of BMI between both genders (male and female) in the same groups of pathological control and MS, excepting control group ($p=0.960$). A statistically significant variation ($p<0.05$) was observed when both genders in MS group were compared with their peers in the subgroups of healthy and pathological controls, excepting male in pathological control group who did not exhibit significant elevation when compared with their corresponding in healthy control.

Central obesity as a marker of body fat, which can be estimated by measuring body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference (WC) that in turn might effectively predict the risk of MS^{30, 31}. Obesity seems to be predominant underlying risk factor not only for the development of MS but also other cardiovascular risk factors³². Results of many studies indicated for increasing in body weight and BMI associated with the elevation of ischemic heart disease in several populations^{31, 33, 34}, but this finding has not been reported an approximate 2-fold increase in the 10-year risk of coronary artery disease in subjects with a BMI of 30 Kg/m^2 or more compared with those with BMI less than 21 Kg/m^2 after adjustment for age³⁵. On the other hand, the results of the prospective cardiovascular study indicated that BMI did not independently contribute to cardiovascular risk in multiple logistic regression analysis³⁶.

Table 2: BMI (Kg/m^2) of the Study Subgroups

Subjects (n)	Gender (n)	BMI (Kg/m^2) Mean $\pm$ SD	Min-max BMI (Kg/m^2)	BMI Range (Year)	p-value
Healthy Control 50	Female 24	27.316 $\pm$ 2.093	23.833-30.637	6.804	0.960 For 1vs2 0.000 For 1vs3 0.000 For 1vs5
	Male 26	27.268 $\pm$ 2.362	21.847-30.628	8.781	
Pathological Control 50	Female 27	32.775 $\pm$ 4.880	25.951-47.000	21.049	0.114 For 2vs4 0.000 For 2vs6 0.000 For 3vs4
	Male 23	28.771 $\pm$ 2.766	25.000-36.198	11.198	
MS Patients 50	Female 30	38.512 $\pm$ 3.998	31.500-45.000	13.500	0.000 For 4vs6 0.000 For 5vs6
	Male 20	34.957 $\pm$ 2.351	32.000-40.000	8.000	

1: healthy female control. 2: healthy male control, 3: female pathological control, 4: male pathological control, 5: female metabolic syndrome, and 6: male metabolic syndrome. The mean difference is significant at 0.05 level

Results of the present study showed significantly ($p<0.05$) different when the patients groups compared with the healthy control using ANOVA test. The study created a set of individual observations, included: (1) A significant increase in blood sugar levels in MS patients and pathological control subjects comparing with healthy control subjects, while did not show significant differences between MS group and pathological group as shown in **table 3**. (2) Fasting insulin level seemed to be significantly elevation ($p=0.000$) in the samples of MS patients and pathological control comparison to healthy individuals, additionally there were significant variation between MS patients and pathological control, as shown in **table 3**. (3) The current study recognize arise in the level of HbA1c in the samples of study patients compared to their corresponding values

in the group of healthy individuals, as well as there were significant changes between MS patients and pathological control. (4) The study reported a significant increasing in the levels of cholesterol and very low density lipoproteins binding cholesterol (vLDL-C) in the sera of MS patients comparison to healthy and pathological control, while no such results were noted when the levels of cholesterol and vLDL-C ($p=0.234$ and $p=0.111$; respectively) were tested in healthy and pathological controls. (5) Table 3 shows highly significant increase in the level of triglycerides (TGs), high density lipoprotein binding cholesterol (HDL-C), and low density lipoproteins binding cholesterol (LDL-C) in the sera of patients with metabolic syndrome and pathological control subjects comparison to healthy individuals group.

Table 3: Levels (Mean $\pm$ SD) of Sugar Concentration (mg/dL), Insulin Secretion (mIU/L), HbA1c%, and Lipid Profile in Sera of Study Groups

Parameters	Subjects (n)			p-value
	Healthy Control 50 Mean $\pm$ SD Min–Max Range	Pathological Control 50 Mean $\pm$ SD Min–Max Range	Ms Patients 50 Mean $\pm$ SD Min–Max Range	
Blood Glucose mg/dL	107.605 $\pm$ 15.593 70.402-129.572 59.170	241.582 $\pm$ 81.129 89.000-421.015 332.015	250.639 $\pm$ 81.235 136.415-442.000 305.585	0.000 For 1vs2 0.000 For 1vs3 0.542 For 2vs3
Insulin (mIU/L)	12.223 $\pm$ 6.593 0.068-25.291 25.223	28.379 $\pm$ 16.824 5.864-75.917 70.053	37.935 $\pm$ 21.893 6.291-86.436 80.145	0.000 For 1vs2 0.000 For 1vs3 0.011 For 2vs3
HbA1c%	4.544 $\pm$ 0.647 3.500-5.600 2.100	8.742 $\pm$ 1.671 4.525-12.000 7.475	9.403 $\pm$ 1.462 5.900-12.000 6.100	0.000 For 1vs2 0.000 For 1vs3 0.032 For 2vs3
Cholesterol mg/dL	184.042 $\pm$ 38.448 79.829-266.826 186.997	198.392 $\pm$ 50.607 120.000-325.157 205.157	225.806 $\pm$ 42.038 154.581-340.015 185.434	0.243 For 1vs2 0.000 For 1vs3 0.002 For 2vs3
Triglyceride mg/dL	143.330 $\pm$ 40.237 74.870-215.520 140.650	179.919 $\pm$ 84.007 60.969-350.541 289.572	283.756 $\pm$ 90.106 118.920-598.110 479.190	0.016 For 1vs2 0.000 For 1vs3 0.000 For 2vs3

HDL-C mg/dL	88.250±22.888 43.910-133.035 89.125	53.673±18.585 23.245-88.000 64.755	34.3917±7.49752 20.000-62.620 42.620	0.000For 1vs2 0.000For 1vs3 0.000For 2vs3
LDL-C mg/dL	71.615±33.189 25.532-126.492 100.960	111.065±50.810 22.912-236.526 213.614	135.312±44.970 62.547-248.695 186.148	0.001For 1vs2 0.000For 1vs3 0.008For 2vs3
vLDL-C mg/dL	28.398±7.799 16.483-43.103 26.620	35.395±16.498 12.193-70.108 57.915	56.606±18.031 23.784-119.621 95.837	0.111For 1vs2 0.000For 1vs3 0.000For 2vs3
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	114.130±24.915 110-135 124	133.54±19.560 100-183 83	153.92±23.839 180-190 172	0.001For 1vs2 0.000For 1vs3 0.000For 2vs3
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	76.87±5.057 65-85 20	81.92±11.911 68-112 44	92.70±13.815 12-110 98	0.119For 1vs2 0.000For 1vs3 0.000For 2vs3

1: healthy female control. 2: healthy male control, 3:female pathological control, 4: male pathological control, 5:female metabolic syndrome, and 4: male metabolic syndrome. The mean difference is significant at 0.05 level

Metabolic syndrome is characterized by a low HDL in association with an elevated triglyceride concentration. This is believed to be a result of an increased triglyceride load in the HDL particle that is acted on by hepatic lipase, which hydrolyzes the triglyceride. The loss of the triglyceride results in a small HDL particle that is filtered by the kidney, resulting in a decrease in apolipoprotein (Apo) A and HDL concentrations. Apart from an increase in the loss of apoA, there are data demonstrating that insulin may promote apoA gene transcription³⁷. Therefore, insulin resistance states may be associated with diminished apoA biosynthesis³⁸.

Table 4: Comparison The Levels of HOMA-IR and FIGR Among The Study Groups

Parameters	Subjects (n)			p-value
	Healthy Control 50 Mean ± SD Min–Max Range	Pathological Control 50 Mean ± SD Min–Max Range	Ms Patients 50 Mean ± SD Min–Max Range	

HOMA- IR	3.009±1.566	16.978±12.398	23.154±17.616	0.000 For 1vs2
	0.76-7.78	2.580-50.56	2.900-67.363	0.000For 1vs3
	7.02	47.98	64.463	0.014For 2vs3
Insulin /Glucose Ratio	0.114±0.061	0.131±0.0792	0.158±0.106	0.298For 1vs2
	0.033-0.26	0.015-0.344	0.034-0.518	0.009For 1vs3
	0.227	0.329	0.484	0.110For 2vs3

1: healthy control, 2: pathological control, 3: metabolic syndrome. The mean difference is significant at 0.05 level

The insulin resistance level was represented by the HOMA-IR and fasting insulin/glucose ratio (FIR). The HOMA-IR values in the metabolic syndrome, pathological control, and healthy control groups were 23.154±17.616, 16.978±12.398, and 3.009±1.566; respectively. Independent ANOVA test results showed that IR in the MS group was higher than those in pathological control and healthy control group, and the differences were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) demonstrated in **table 4**.

Outcomes of the current parameter showed there weren't significant differences ($p > 0.05$) between the two genders in the same group when HOMA IR were tested in the six study subgroups, as demonstrated in **table 5**, on the other side; significant increases ($p = 0.000$) were recorded when two genders of patients (male and female) were compared to their matching genders in the healthy group. Additionally significant variations ($p < 0.05$) were observed when the individuals with same genders (healthy male with pathological control male, and healthy female with pathological control female) in the two groups compared together. Levels of HOMA IR of men in the MS group were not statistically different ($p = 0.269$) from those in the pathological control group, while levels of HOMA IR were seemed to be statistically high ($p = 0.018$) in the MS female comparison to female in pathological control group, as shown **table 5**. Insulin is the central regulator of glucose and lipid homeostasis, it decreased blood glucose concentrations by reducing hepatic gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis and by enhancing glucose uptake into striated muscles and adipocytes, also, it enhances triglycerides synthesis in liver and adipose tissues, additionally increases the breakdown of circulating lipoproteins by stimulating lipoprotein lipase activity in adipose tissues, and suppresses lipolysis both in adipose tissues and in muscles^{39, 40}.

The insulin resistance occurs when adipose, muscle, and liver cells do not response appropriately to insulin, and circulating glucose levels remain high, which leads to pathology and deregulation of feedback mechanism. Insulin resistance is a powerful predictor of T2DM and the hyperinsulinemia is a compensate marker for insulin resistance⁴¹. Insulin resistance is recognized as a component of several Common disorders such as the metabolic syndrome, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, coronary artery disease and the polycystic ovary syndrome⁴². Metabolic syndrome establish on the basis of resistance to the metabolic actions of insulin. Thus, hyperinsulinemia, glucose intolerance, type 2 diabetes, hypertriglyceridemia, and low HDL concentrations could be accounted for by resistance to the actions of insulin on carbohydrate and lipid metabolism⁴³.

Table 5: HOMA-IR Levels in The Different Study Subgroups

Subjects	Gender (n)	HOMA-IR Mean ± SD	Min-Max HOMA-IR	Range HOMA-IR	p-value
Healthy Control 50	Female	2.693±1.397	0.758-5.711	4.953	0.864For 1vs2
	24				0.001For 1vs3
	Male	3.300±1.681	0.896-7.780	6.884	0.000For 1vs5
	26				0.000For 2vs4

Pathological Control 50	Female 27	14.853±10.662	2.580-49.404	46.824	0.000 For 2vs6 0.196 For 3vs4 0.018 For 3vs5 0.269 For 4vs6 0.794 For 5vs6
	Male 23	19.472±13.998	3.640-50.560	46.920	
MS Patients 50	Female 30	22.777±18.947	2.900-67.363	64.463	
	Male 20	23.720±15.869	5.500-60.900	55.400	

1: healthy female control. 2: healthy male control, 3:female pathological control, 4: male pathological control, 5:female metabolic syndrome, and 4: male metabolic syndrome. The mean difference is significant at 0.05 level

Fasting insulin: glucose ratio(FIGR) levels were observed to be non-significant higher ($p < 0.05$) in patient and pathological control groups than in those in healthy subjects group, as demonstrated in table 4. When the participate individuals in the present study were comparing based on their genders, ANOVA test results showed there are no significant variation among study subgroups when the FIGR were compared whether in the same group (male with female in the same group) or between same gender subgroups, as illustrates in table 6.

Table 6: Levels of FIGR in the Various Study Groups

Subjects	Gender (n)	FIGR Mean ± SD	Min–Max	Range	p-value
Healthy Control 50	Female 24	0.103± 0.553	0.029-0.194	0.165	0.380 For 1vs2 0.387 For 1vs3 0.054 For 1vs5 0.485 For 2vs4 0.045 For 2vs6 0.468 For 3vs4 0.277 For 3vs5 0.193 For 4vs6 0.274 For 5vs6
	Male 26	0.124±0.066	0.030-0.260	0.230	
Pathological Control 50	Female 27	0.123±0.070	0.03-0.31	0.278	
	Male 23	0.141±0.086	0.020-0.344	0.329	
MS Patients 50	Female 30	0.148±0.996	0.034-0.420	0.386	
	Male 20	0.175±0.115	0.050-0.520	0.470	

1: healthy female control. 2: healthy male control, 3:female pathological control, 4: male pathological control, 5:female metabolic syndrome, and 4: male metabolic syndrome. The mean difference is significant at 0.05 level

One of the observations recorded in present study was the significant increase of HOMA IR in patients with Metabolic syndrome when compared to the healthy and pathological control groups, this indicates the pathogenic effect of insulin resistance, especially when all the combined strains of the syndrome are combined in one person. In addition, it was observed that HOMA IR was more accurate and acceptable than FIGR to measure the sensitivity of insulin, as the FIGR did not produce significant and acceptable results when comparing study groups, present finding agreed with the study which revealed to fact that HOMA is more appropriate for large epidemiologic studies and is more reliable than FGIR as a measure of insulin resistance among children and adolescents. The use of HOMA is simpler, cheaper, less labor-intensive, less time-consuming, and more acceptable to young people than clamp studies⁴⁴.

Conclusion

The metabolic syndrome (visceral obesity, dyslipidemia, hyperglycemia, and hypertension), has become one of the major public- health challenges worldwide⁴⁵. The current study revealed there were significant combined between symptoms of metabolic syndrome, as well as that insulin resistance is the central component of this syndrome and have pathogenic effect on the other components such as hyperlipidemia, hypertension, hyperglycemia and obesity.

References

1. Reaven, G. M. (1988) Role of insulin resistance in human disease, *Diabetes*37, 1595-1607.
2. Ninomiya, J. K., L'Italien, G., Criqui, M. H., Whyte, J. L., Gamst, A., and Chen, R. S. (2004) Association of the metabolic syndrome with history of myocardial infarction and stroke in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, *Circulation*109, 42-46.
3. Lakka, H.-M., Laaksonen, D. E., Lakka, T. A., Niskanen, L. K., Kumpusalo, E., Tuomilehto, J., and Salonen, J. T. (2002) The metabolic syndrome and total and cardiovascular disease mortality in middle-aged men, *Jama*288, 2709-2716.
4. Festa, A., D'Agostino Jr, R., Howard, G., Mykkanen, L., Tracy, R. P., and Haffner, S. M. (2000) Chronic subclinical inflammation as part of the insulin resistance syndrome: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS), *Circulation*102, 42-47.
5. Kaur, J. (2014) A comprehensive review on metabolic syndrome, *Cardiology research and practice*2014.
6. Felizola, S. J. (2015) Ursolic acid in experimental models and human subjects: potential as an antiobesity/overweight treatment?, *cancer*1, 2.
7. Dandona, P., Mohanty, P., Ghanim, H., Aljada, A., Browne, R., Hamouda, W., Prabhala, A., Afzal, A., and Garg, R. (2001) The suppressive effect of dietary restriction and weight loss in the obese on the generation of reactive oxygen species by leukocytes, lipid peroxidation, and protein carbonylation, *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*86, 355-362.
8. Beltrán-Sánchez, H., Harhay, M. O., Harhay, M. M., and McElligott, S. (2013) Prevalence and trends of metabolic syndrome in the adult US population, 1999–2010, *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*62, 697-703.
9. Falkner, B., and Cossrow, N. D. (2014) Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and obesity-associated hypertension in the racial ethnic minorities of the United States, *Current hypertension reports*16, 449.
10. Poulsen, P., Vaag, A., Kyvik, K., and Beck-Nielsen, H. (2001) Genetic versus environmental aetiology of the metabolic syndrome among male and female twins, *Diabetologia*44, 537-543.
11. Edwardson, C. L., Gorely, T., Davies, M. J., Gray, L. J., Khunti, K., Wilmot, E. G., Yates, T., and Biddle, S. J. (2012) Association of sedentary behaviour with metabolic syndrome: a meta-analysis, *PloS one*7, e34916.
12. Malik, V. S., Popkin, B. M., Bray, G. A., Després, J.-P., Willett, W. C., and Hu, F. B. (2010) Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis, *Diabetes care*33, 2477-2483.
13. Goldberg, R. B., and Mather, K. (2012) The diabetes prevention program—targeting the consequences of the metabolic syndrome, *Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology*32, 2077.
14. Lonardo, A., Ballestri, S., Marchesini, G., Angulo, P., and Loria, P. (2015) Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a precursor of the metabolic syndrome, *Digestive and Liver Disease*47, 181-190.
15. Chen, J., Muntner, P., Hamm, L. L., Jones, D. W., Batuman, V., Fonseca, V., Whelton, P. K., and He, J. (2004) The metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease in US adults, *Annals of internal medicine*140, 167-174.
16. Xi, B., He, D., Zhang, M., Xue, J., and Zhou, D. (2014) Short sleep duration predicts risk of metabolic syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis, *Sleep medicine reviews*18, 293-297.

17. Lim, S., Kakoly, N., Tan, J., Fitzgerald, G., Bahri Khomami, M., Joham, A., Cooray, S., Misso, M., Norman, R., and Harrison, C. (2019) Metabolic syndrome in polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression, *Obesity Reviews*20, 339-352.
18. Vancampfort, D., Correll, C. U., Wampers, M., Sienaert, P., Mitchell, A., De Herdt, A., Probst, M., Scheewe, T. W., and De Hert, M. (2014) Metabolic syndrome and metabolic abnormalities in patients with major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis of prevalences and moderating variables, *Psychological medicine*44, 2017-2028.
19. Robinson, E., and Grieve, D. J. (2009) Significance of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors in the cardiovascular system in health and disease, *Pharmacology & therapeutics*122, 246-263.
20. Tenenbaum, A., Fisman, E. Z., and Motro, M. (2003) Metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes mellitus: focus on peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPAR), *Cardiovascular diabetology*2, 4.
21. McCracken, E., Monaghan, M., and Sreenivasan, S. (2018) Pathophysiology of the metabolic syndrome, *Clinics in dermatology*36, 14-20.
22. Szulc, P., Amri, E. Z., Varennes, A., Panaia-Ferrari, P., Fontas, E., Goudable, J., Chapurlat, R., and Breuil, V. (2016) High serum oxytocin is associated with metabolic syndrome in older men–The MINOS study, *Diabetes research and clinical practice*122, 17-27.
23. Organization, W. H. (1998) Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic: report of a WHO consultation on obesity, Geneva, 3-5 June 1997, Geneva: World Health Organization.
24. Engvall, E., and Perlmann, P. (1972) Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, ELISA: III. Quantitation of specific antibodies by enzyme-labeled anti-immunoglobulin in antigen-coated tubes, *The Journal of Immunology*109, 129-135.
25. Fischbach, F. T., and Dunning, M. B. (2009) *A manual of laboratory and diagnostic tests*, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
26. Abraham, E., Huff, T., Cope, N., Wilson, J., Bransome, E., and Huisman, T. (1978) Determination of the glycosylated hemoglobins (Hb A1) with a new microcolumn procedure: suitability of the technique for assessing the clinical management of diabetes mellitus, *Diabetes*27, 931-937.
27. Barham, D., and Trinder, P. (1972) An improved colour reagent for the determination of blood glucose by the oxidase system, *Analyst*97, 142-145.
28. Park, Y.-W., Zhu, S., Palaniappan, L., Heshka, S., Carnethon, M. R., and Heymsfield, S. B. (2003) The metabolic syndrome: prevalence and associated risk factor findings in the US population from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994, *Archives of internal medicine*163, 427-436.
29. Boden, G., Chen, X., DeSantis, R. A., and Kendrick, Z. (1993) Effects of age and body fat insulin resistance in healthy men, *Diabetes care*16, 728-733.
30. Takahashi, M., Shimomura, K., Proks, P., Craig, T. J., Negishi, M., Akuzawa, M., Hayashi, R., Shimomura, Y., and Kobayashi, I. (2009) A proposal of combined evaluation of waist circumference and BMI for the diagnosis of metabolic syndrome, *Endocrine journal*, 0908270330-0908270330.
31. Al-Lawati, J. A., and Jousilahti, P. (2008) Body mass index, waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio cut-off points for categorisation of obesity among Omani Arabs, *Public health nutrition*11, 102-108.
32. Beydoun, M. A., Kuczmarski, M. T. F., Wang, Y., Mason, M. A., Evans, M. K., and Zonderman, A. B. (2011) Receiver-operating characteristics of adiposity for metabolic syndrome: the Healthy Aging in Neighborhoods of Diversity across the Life Span (HANDLS) study, *Public Health Nutrition*14, 77-92.
33. Wu, S., Fisher-Hoch, S. P., Reninger, B., Vatcheva, K., and McCormick, J. B. (2016) Metabolic health has greater impact on diabetes than simple overweight/obesity in Mexican Americans, *Journal of diabetes research*2016.

34. Oh, E. J., Choi, J., Kim, S., Ahn, A., and Park, C. K. (2017) Body volume, body fatness, and metabolic syndrome, *Women & health*57, 822-836.
35. Lamon-Fava, S., Wilson, P. W., and Schaefer, E. J. (1996) Impact of body mass index on coronary heart disease risk factors in men and women: the Framingham Offspring Study, *Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology*16, 1509-1515.
36. Schulte, H., von Eckardstein, A., Cullen, P., and Assmann, G. (2001) Übergewicht und kardiovaskuläres Risiko, *Herz*26, 170-177.
37. Bertoli, A., Di Daniele, N., Ceccobelli, M., Ficara, A., Girasoli, C., and De Lorenzo, A. (2003) Lipid profile, BMI, body fat distribution, and aerobic fitness in men with metabolic syndrome, *Acta diabetologica*40, s130-s133.
38. Adiels, M., Chapman, M. J., Robillard, P., Krempf, M., Laville, M., Borén, J., and Group, N. S. (2018) Niacin action in the atherogenic mixed dyslipidemia of metabolic syndrome: Insights from metabolic biomarker profiling and network analysis, *Journal of clinical lipidology*12, 810-821. e811.
39. Decsi, T., and Molnár, D. (2003) Insulin resistance syndrome in children, *Pediatric Drugs*5, 291-299.
40. Galli-Tsinopoulou, A., Karamouzis, M., and Nousia-Arvanitakis, S. (2003) Insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia in prepubertal obese children, *Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology and Metabolism*16, 555-560.
41. Yang, G., Li, C., Gong, Y., Fang, F., Tian, H., Li, J., and Cheng, X. (2016) Assessment of insulin resistance in subjects with normal glucose tolerance, hyperinsulinemia with normal blood glucose tolerance, impaired glucose tolerance, and newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes (prediabetes insulin resistance research), *Journal of diabetes research*2016.
42. Singh, B., and Saxena, A. (2010) Surrogate markers of insulin resistance: A review, *World journal of diabetes*1, 36.
43. Paniagua, J. A. (2016) Nutrition, insulin resistance and dysfunctional adipose tissue determine the different components of metabolic syndrome, *World journal of diabetes*7, 483.
44. Keskin, M., Kurtoglu, S., Kendirci, M., Atabek, M. E., and Yazici, C. (2005) Homeostasis model assessment is more reliable than the fasting glucose/insulin ratio and quantitative insulin sensitivity check index for assessing insulin resistance among obese children and adolescents, *Pediatrics*115, e500-e503.
45. Grundy, S. M. (2012) Pre-diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular risk, *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*59, 635-643.