

APPROXIMATION OF 3-MONOTONE FUNCTIONS BY 3-MONOTONE FUNCTIONS IN L_p SPACES

Eman Samir Bhaya*

Rewayda Razaak Mohsin**

* Dept. of Math\ College of Education\ Babylon University

** Dept. of Math\ College of Mathematics and Computer Science\ Kufa University

ABSTRACT

We Obtain a direct theorem for the simultaneous approximation of 3-monotone functions in L_p by splines in L_p .

1. Introduction and Basic definitions

A function $x : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ is said to be k -monotone, $k \geq 1$, on $[a, b]$ if and only if for all choices of $k + 1$ distinct points x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k in $[a, b]$ the inequality $x[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k] > 0$, holds, where $x[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k] = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{f(x_j)}{\omega'(x_j)}$, denotes the k th divided difference of x at x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k , and $\omega(y) = \prod_{j=0}^k (y - x_j)$.

Note that 1-monotone and 2-monotone functions are just nondecreasing and convex functions, respectively. We denote the class of all k -monotone functions on $[a, b]$ by Δ^k . If $x \in C^k[a, b]$, then $x \in \Delta^k$ if and only if $x^{(k)}(y) \geq 0$, $y \in [a, b]$.

In the recent years the first author has many papers dealing with the degree of approximation of nonnegative, of monotone and convex functions by algebraic polynomials and splines that are similarly nonnegative, monotone and convex, the so called positive, monotone and convex approximation(see [2], [1]). Also She has papers dealing with the degree of approximation of functions that change their positivity, monotonicity or convexity finitely many times in $[-1, 1]$, by polynomials and splines that have the same changes at exactly the same points. This is the copositive comonotone and coconvex approximation(see [2], [3], [4]). On the other hand, very little is known on the degree of 3-monotone functions by 3-momotone polynomials and splines. We are aware of only one paper [5] by Konovalov and Leviatan on the uniform Jackson estimates of 3-monotone functions by 3-monotone splines. In this paper we will obtain the degree of simultaneous approximation of such function in L_p .

We need a few notations. Let $\|\cdot\|_p$, $p > 0$, denote the L_p quasi norm. The r th symmetric difference of x is given by

$$\Delta_h^r(x, y, [a, b]) := \Delta_h^r(f, x) := \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (-1)^{r-i} x\left(y - \frac{rh}{2} + ih\right), & y \pm \frac{rh}{2} \in [a, b] \\ 0, & o.w. \end{cases}$$

Then the r th usual modulus of smoothness of $x \in L_p[a, b]$ is defined by $w_r(x, \delta, [a, b])_p := \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_h^r(x, \cdot) \right\|_{L_p[a, b]}, \delta \geq 0$.

We prove:

Theorem 1.1 : Let $x \in L^2_p(I)$, be 3- monotone function, and $m \in N$, set $t_i = t_{m,i} : a + i^{-1}m |I|, i=0, 1, \dots, m$, then there exists a 3- monotone quadratic spline $\sigma_{2,m}(x; \cdot)$ with knots $t_i, i=1, \dots, m-1$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad x''(t_{i-1}) \leq \sigma''_{2,m}(x; t) \leq x''(t_i) \quad , \quad t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i), i = 1, \dots, m$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \left\| x(\cdot) - \sigma_{2,m}(x, \cdot) \right\|_p \leq Cm^{-2}|I|^2 w(x'', m^{-1}|I|)_p$$

$$(1.3) \quad \left\| x'(\cdot) - \sigma'_{2,m}(x, \cdot) \right\|_p \leq \frac{7}{2} Cm^{-1}|I| w(x'', m^{-1}|I|)_p$$

And

$$(1.4) \quad \left\| x''(\cdot) - \sigma''_{2,m}(x, \cdot) \right\|_p \leq w(x'', m^{-1}|I|)_p.$$

2. Proof of the main result

First let us prove

Lemma 2.1 : Let $J=[a, b]$ and $m \in N$, and set $t_i = t_{m,i} : a + i^{-1}m |J|, i=0, 1, \dots, m$ then for every function x such that $x' \in L_p$ and $x'' \in L^2_p(J)$, $\left\| x' \right\|_p \leq 2m|J|^{-1}(b-a)^{1/p} \max_{0 \leq i \leq m} |x(t_i)| + \frac{1}{2} m|J|^{-1} \left\| x'' \right\|_p$.

Proof : Let $t_{k-1} \leq t \leq t_k$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, by Taylor's formula $x(t) + x'(t)(t_j - t) = x(t_j) - \int_t^{t_j} x''(t)(t_j - \tau) d\tau$, $j=k-1, k$ solving this system of linear

equation for $x'(t)$, we obtain $x'(t) = (t_k - t_{k-1})^{-1} \sum_{j=k-1}^k (-1)^{k-j} (x(t_j) - \int_t^{t_j} x''(t)(t_j - \tau) d\tau)$

Hence

$$\left(\int_a^b |x'(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq m|J|^{-1} \sum_{j=k-1}^k (-1)^{k-j} |x(t_j)| \left(\int_a^b |1|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + m|J|^{-1} \left[\int_a^b \left| \sum_{j=k-1}^k \int_t^{t_j} |x''(t)|(t_j - \tau) dt \right|^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and $\left\| x' \right\|_p \leq 2m|J|^{-1}(b-a)^{1/p} \max_{0 \leq i \leq m} |x(t_i)| + \frac{1}{2} m|J|^{-1} \left\| x'' \right\|_p$. This completes the proof.

The proof of theorem 1.1

First observe that (4) follows immediately from () and we do not have to prove it separately, and that with no loss of generality we may assume that $I=[0,1]$. Note that if $w(x'', h)_p = 0$ for some $h > 0$, then x is a quadratic polynomial and there is nothing and

there is nothing to prove . thus , we assume that $w(x'',h)_p > 0$, $0 < h \leq 1$, also if $m = 1$, then we may take the quadratic polynomial $\frac{c}{2}t^2$, where $x''(0) \leq c \leq x''(1)$, thus we assume $m > 1$. First assume that $x(0) = x'(0) = x''(0)$. Then x'' is nonnegative and non decreasing . . Let $c=(c_1, \dots, c_m)$, and denote by $\sigma_2(.,c)$ the quadratic spline defined by

$$\sigma_2''(t;c) = c_i \quad t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i), i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$\sigma_2'(t;c) = \int_0^t \sigma_2''(\tau, c) d\tau \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2(t;c) = \int_0^t \sigma_2'(\tau, c) d\tau \quad t \in [0,1]$$

It follows that $\sigma_2(.,c)$ is linear in c . Let $e^{(1)} = (1,0, \dots, 0)$, $e^{(2)} = (0,1, \dots, 0), \dots, e^{(m)} = (0,0, \dots, 1)$, denote the usual unit vectors in R^m . Then it is easy to see that $\sigma_2'(t;e^{(i)}) = (t - t_{i-1})_+ - (t - t_i)_+$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq t \leq t_{i-1} \\ t - t_{i-1}, & t_{i-1} < t < t_i \\ m^{-1}, & t_i \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma_2(t;e^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{2}((t - t_{i-1})_+^2 - (t - t_i)_+^2)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq t \leq t_{i-1} \\ \frac{1}{2}(t - t_{i-1})^2, & t_{i-1} < t < t_i \\ \frac{1}{2}m^{-2} + m^{-1}(t - t_i), & t_i \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

For $c \in R^m$, set

$$\delta_2(x,t,c) = x(t) - \sigma_2(t,c), \quad t \in [0,1]$$

Where

$$(2.2) \quad \delta_2'(x,0,c) = \delta_2(x,0,c) = 0$$

Now let $c_i^* = x''(t_i)$, $i = 0,1, \dots, m$ it follows that if $c=(c_1, \dots, c_m)$ is so that

$$c_{i-1}^* \leq c_i \leq c_i^* , i = 1, \dots, m \text{ then}$$

$$(2.3) \quad \|\delta_2''(x,.,c)\|_p \leq w(x'', m^{-1})_p$$

We are going to construct the required $\sigma_{2,m}(x,.)$ in stages step 1 .Let

$$c_*^{(0)} = (c_1^*, \dots, c_m^*) \text{ and } c_*^{(i)} = c_*^{(0)} - \sum_{l=1}^i (c_l^* - c_{l-1}^*) e_l^l , 1 \leq i \leq m$$

That is

$$(2.4) \quad c_*^i = \begin{cases} (c_1^*, \dots, c_m^*) & i = 0 \\ (c_0^*, \dots, c_{i-1}^*, c_{i+1}^*, \dots, c_m^*) & 1 \leq i \leq m - 1 \\ (c_0^*, \dots, c_{m-1}^*) & i = m \end{cases}$$

The function $\delta_2'(x,.,c_*^i)$, $0 \leq i \leq m$, are increasing in the weak sense , i.e. non decreasing in $[0,t_i]$ and decreasing in the weak sense i.e non increasing in $[t_i,1]$. (we will continue to use increasing and decreasing in the weak sense with out mentioning the latter), since

$$\delta_2''(x, t, c_*^{(i)}) = x''(t) - c_{k-1}^* = x''(t) - x''(t_{k-1}) \geq 0, \quad t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k), 1 \leq k \leq i$$

$$\delta_2''(x, t, c_*^{(i)}) = x''(t) - c_k^* = x''(t) - x''(t_k) \leq 0, \quad t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k), i+1 \leq k \leq 1$$

By (2.2) $\delta_2'(x, 0, c_*^i) = 0, 0 \leq i \leq m$, hence $\delta_2'(x, \cdot, c_*^i) \geq 0$ and in particular $\delta_2(x, \cdot, c_*^i)$ is increasing in $[0, t_i]$. Also for all $0 \leq i \leq m$

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_2'(x, t, c_*^i) &= \delta_2'(x, t, c_*^{i+1}), \quad t \in [0, t_i] \\ \delta_2'(x, t, c_*^i) &\leq \delta_2'(x, t, c_*^{i+1}), \quad t \in [t_i, 1] \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau_p(c) = \left(\int_0^1 |\delta_2(x, t, c)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|\delta_2(x, t, c)\|_p$ Then by

the above discussion, $\tau_p(c_*^i) \geq t_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$. If $\tau_p(c_*^i) < 1$, then clearly $\delta_2'(x, \tau_p(c_*^i), c_*^i) = 0$, and since $\delta_2'(x, \cdot, c_*^i)$ is decreasing in $[t_i, 1]$, it follows that it is non negative in $[0, \tau_p(c_*^i)]$ and non positive in $[\tau_p(c_*^i), 1]$, i.e., $\delta_2(x, t, c_*^i)$ is increasing in $[0, \tau_p(c_*^i)]$ and decreasing in $[\tau_p(c_*^i), 1]$. Also, it is readily seen that for every $0 \leq i \leq m$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_2(x, t, c_*^i) &= \delta_2(x, t, c_*^{i+1}), \quad t \in [0, t_i] \\ \delta_2(x, t, c_*^i) &\leq \delta_2(x, t, c_*^{i+1}), \quad t \in [t_i, 1] \end{aligned}$$

We are ready to begin the construction. If

$$\delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_*^m), c_*^m) = \delta_2(x, 1, c_*^m) \leq \frac{1}{2} m^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{2} C m^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1})_p$$

Then we take $c^\wedge = (c_1^\wedge, \dots, c_m^\wedge) = c_*^m$ And set $\sigma_{2,m}(x, t) = \sigma_2(t, c^\wedge), t \in [0, 1]$. Then (1.1) and (1.2) hold, and as we will see towards the end of the proof, (1.3) will follow. Other wise

$$\delta_2(x, 1, c_*^m) = \delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_*^m), c_*^m) > \frac{1}{2} m^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1})_p \geq \frac{1}{2} C m^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1})_p$$

Since $\delta_2(x, \cdot, c_*^0) \leq 0$ then for some $i, 0 \leq i \leq m-1$, we have

$$\delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_*^i), c_*^i) \leq \frac{1}{2} C m^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1})_p < \delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_*^{i+1}), c_*^{i+1})$$

Denote $c_\varepsilon^i = c_*^i - \varepsilon e^{i+1}, \varepsilon \in R$. Then

$$\delta_2^k(x, t, c_\varepsilon^i) = \delta_2^k(x, t, c_*^i) + \varepsilon \sigma_2^k(t, e^{i+1}), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

Hence for $\varepsilon_i^* = c_{i+1}^* - c_i^*$

$$\delta_2(x, t, c_{\varepsilon_i^*}^i) = \delta_2(x, t, c_*^{i+1}), \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

While $\delta_2(x, t, c_0^i) = \delta_2(x, t, c_*^i), t \in [0, 1]$

By virtue of (1), (5) & (6) we conclude that for $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_i^*$.

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_2'(x, t, c_*^i) &= \delta_2'(x, t, c_\varepsilon^i) = \delta_2'(x, t, c_*^{i+1}), \quad t \in [0, t_i] \\ \delta_2'(x, t, c_*^i) &\leq \delta_2'(x, t, c_\varepsilon^i) \leq \delta_2'(x, t, c_*^{i+1}), \quad t \in [t_i, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_2(x, t, c_*^i) &= \delta_2(x, t, c_\varepsilon^i) = \delta_2(x, t, c_*^{i+1}), \quad t \in [0, t_i] \\ \delta_2(x, t, c_*^i) &\leq \delta_2(x, t, c_\varepsilon^i) \leq \delta_2(x, t, c_*^{i+1}), \quad t \in [t_i, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that all the above vectors c_ε^i , are admissible, by continue there exists an $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_i^*$ such that $\delta_2'(x, \tau_p(c_*^i), c_*^i) = 0$

$$(2.9) \quad \left(\int_a^b |\delta_2(x, t, c_\epsilon^i)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_\epsilon^i), c_\epsilon^i) = \frac{1}{2} Cm^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1})_p$$

Next we show that $\delta_2(x, t, c_\epsilon^i)$ can not be too small in $[0, \tau_p(c_\epsilon^i)]$. To this end, in view (2.8). we only have to estimate $\delta_2(x, t, c_\epsilon^i)$ from below in $[t_i, \tau_p(c_\epsilon^i)]$. We claim that we only have to obtain an estimate in $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$. Indeed, our claim is self-evident if $\tau_p(c_\epsilon^i) \leq t_{i+1}$, and we may assume the opposite. Note that

$$\delta_2'(x, t, c_\epsilon^i) = \delta_2'(x, t, c_\epsilon^i) + \epsilon m^{-1}, \quad t \in [t_{i+1}, 1]$$

And this in turn implies that $\delta_2'(x, t, c_\epsilon^i)$ is decreasing in that interval. Since $\delta_2'(x, \tau_p(c_\epsilon^i), c_\epsilon^i) \geq 0$, it follows that $\delta_2^i(x, t, c_\epsilon^i) \geq 0$ for $t \in [t_{i+1}, \tau_p(c_\epsilon^i)]$, so that $\delta_2(x, t, c_\epsilon^i)$ is increasing there. By Taylor's formula and (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_a^b |\delta_2(x, t, c_\epsilon^i)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \left(\int_a^b |x(t) - \sigma(c_\epsilon^i, t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \delta_2'(x, t, c_\epsilon^i) &= \delta_2(x, t_i, c_\epsilon^i) = \delta_2'(x, t_i, c_\epsilon^i)(t - t_i) + \int_{t_i}^t \delta_2''(x, \theta, c_\epsilon^i)(t - \theta) d\theta \\ &\geq \left(\int_{t_i}^t \delta_2''(x, \theta, c_\epsilon^i)(t - \theta) d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\geq - \int_{t_i}^t \|\delta_2''(x, \theta, c_\epsilon^i)(t - \theta)\|_p \\ &\geq \frac{-1}{2} m^{-2} \|\delta_2''(x, \theta, c_\epsilon^i)\|_p \\ &\geq \frac{-1}{2} m^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1})_p \quad t_i \leq t \leq t_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

By (2.7), (2.8), $\delta_2(x, t_i, c_\epsilon^i) \geq 0$ and $\delta_2'(x, t_i, c_\epsilon^i) \geq 0$ hence

$$(2.10) \quad \min_{i \in [0, \tau_p(c_\epsilon^i)]} \delta_2(x, t, c_\epsilon^i) \geq \frac{-1}{2} Cm^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1})_p$$

Now, $\tau_p(c_\epsilon^i) \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$ where $j \geq i$, if $\tau_p(c_\epsilon^i) = t_{j+1}$. Then we take $c^\wedge = (c_1^\wedge, \dots, c_m^\wedge) = c_\epsilon^i$ and set $\sigma_{2,m}(x, t) = \sigma_2(t, c^\wedge)$, $t \in [0, 1]$

Then 1.1 and 1.2 holds (the latter in $[0, t_{j+1}]$ also by (2.9)

$$(2.11) \quad x(t_{j+1}) - \sigma_{2,m}(x, t_{j+1}) \frac{1}{2} Cm^{-2} w(x'', m^{-2})_p \text{ and if } j < m-1, \text{ then}$$

$$(2.12) \quad x'(t_{j+1}) - \sigma_{2,m}'(x, t_{j+1}) = 0$$

Then $t_i \leq \tau_p(c_\epsilon^i) \leq t_{i+1}$ we need so we fine-tuning, and we continue with step 1, we first note that

$$(2.13) \quad \delta_2'(x, t_j, c_\epsilon^i) \geq 0, \quad \epsilon \geq 0 \text{ for } j > i,$$

we have explain it above, and if $j=i$ then it follows immediately from (2.7)

$$\text{Set } c_{\epsilon, \eta}^j = c_\epsilon^i - \eta e^{j+1}, \quad \eta \in R.$$

It follows by 2.1 that $\delta_2'(x, t_j, c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^j) = \delta_2'(x, t_j, c_\varepsilon^i)$,

and $\delta_2(x, t_j, c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^j) = \delta_2(x, t_j, c_\varepsilon^i)$.

Also, $\delta_2(x, t_{j+1}, c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^j)$ depends continuously on η .

If $j = i$ then for $\eta_i^* = \varepsilon_i^* - \varepsilon$, $c_{\varepsilon, \eta_i^*}^i = c_{\varepsilon_i^*}^{i+1}$,

and $\delta_2(x, t_{j+1}, c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^j)$. While $\delta_2'(x, t, c_{\varepsilon, -\varepsilon}^i) = \delta_2'(x, t, c_\varepsilon^i)$ $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$. For all $-\varepsilon \leq \eta \leq \eta_i^*$, $c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^i$ are admissible, and $\delta_2'(x, t, c_\varepsilon^i) \leq \delta_2'(x, t, c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^i) \leq \delta_2'(x, t, c_\varepsilon^{i+1})$.

It follows from (2.7) and (2.8) that $\tau_p(c_\varepsilon^i) \leq \tau_p(c_\varepsilon^i)$ hence $t_i \leq \tau_p(c_\varepsilon^i) \leq t_{i+1}$ since $\delta_2'(x, \tau_p(c_\varepsilon^i), c_\varepsilon^i) = 0$ and $\delta_2(x, \cdot, c_\varepsilon^i)$, is decreasing in $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$, we see that $\delta_2(x, t_{i+1}, c_\varepsilon^i) \leq 0$. On the other hand $\delta_2(x, t_{i+1}, c_\varepsilon^{i+1}) \geq 0$. By continuity there exists $-\varepsilon \leq \eta \leq \eta_i^*$ such that

$$(2.14) \quad \delta_2(x, t_{i+1}, c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^i) = 0$$

Otherwise $j > i$. then for any $\eta \in R$, $\delta_2(x, t, c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^j) = \delta_2(x, t, c_\varepsilon^i)$ and $\delta_2(x, t, c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^j) = \delta_2(x, t, c_\varepsilon^i)$ $0 \leq t \leq t_j$.

Recall that $\delta_2(x, \cdot, c_\varepsilon^i)$ is decreasing in $[t_{i+1}, 1]$

So that in particular $\delta_2(x, t_{j+1}, c_{\varepsilon, 0}^j) \leq \delta_2(x, t_{j+1}, c_\varepsilon^i) \leq \delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_\varepsilon^i), c_\varepsilon^i)$

On the other hand for $\eta_i^* = c_{j+1}^* - c_j^*$, it follows by (2.1) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_2(x, t, c_{\varepsilon, \eta_i^*}^j) &= \delta_2(x, t, c_\varepsilon^i) + (c_{j+1}^* - c_j^*) \sigma_2(t, \cdot) \\ &= x''(t) - c_{j+1}^* + c_{j+1}^* + c_j^* \\ &= x''(t) - c_j^* \\ &\geq 0 \quad t_j \leq t \leq t_{j+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta_2(x, t, c_{\varepsilon, \eta_j^*}^j) = \delta_2(x, t, c_\varepsilon^i) + \eta_j^* \sigma_2(t, e^{j+1})$$

Is increasing in $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$. by virtue of (2.13) we conclude that $\delta_2(x, t, c_{\varepsilon, \eta_j^*}^j) \geq 0$. Therefore there exists $0 \leq \eta \leq \eta_j^*$ such that

$$(2.15) \quad \delta_2(x, t_{j+1}, c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^j) = 0$$

And $c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^j$ is admissible. Evidently $\delta_2(x, t, c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^j) = \delta_2(x, t, c_\varepsilon^i)$ $t \in [0, t_j]$

Thus we only have to estimate $\delta_2(x, \cdot, c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^j)$, $t_j \leq t \leq t_{j-1}$

To this end

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_2(x, t, c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^j) &= \delta_2(x, t, c_\varepsilon^i) + \eta \sigma_2(t, e^{j+1}) \\ &\leq \delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_\varepsilon^i), c_\varepsilon^i) + \eta_j^* \frac{1}{2} (t - t_j)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} m^{-2} C w(x'', m^{-1})_p + \frac{1}{2} C m^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1})_p \\ &= m^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

And by Taylor's formula

$$\delta_2(x, t, c_{\varepsilon, \eta}^j) = \delta_2(x, t, c_\varepsilon^i) + \eta \sigma_2(t, e^{j+1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_\epsilon^i), c_\epsilon^i) + \delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_\epsilon^i), c_\epsilon^i)(t - t_j) + \int_{\tau_p(c_\epsilon^i)}^t \int_{\tau_p(c_\epsilon^i)}^{\tau} \delta_2(x, \theta, c_\epsilon^i) d\tau d\theta \\ &\geq \delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_\epsilon^i), c_\epsilon^i) - \left\| \delta_2(x, \cdot, c_\epsilon^i) \right\|_p \frac{1}{2} |t - \tau_p(c_\epsilon^i)|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} m^{-2} Cw(x'', m^{-1})_p + \frac{1}{2} C m^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1})_p = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have applied (2.9) and the fact that $\delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_\epsilon^i), c_\epsilon^i) = 0$

In particular we have

$$(2.18) \quad 0 \leq \delta_2(x, t_{m,j+1}, c_{\epsilon,\eta}^j) \leq m^{-2} Cw(x'', m^{-1})$$

And combining with 2.9 and 2.10 we obtain that

$$\min_{t \in [0, t_{j+1}]} \delta_2(x, t, c_{\epsilon,\eta}^j) \geq \frac{1}{2} m^{-2} Cw(x'', m^{-1}),$$

$$\left(\int_0^{t_{j+1}} |\delta_2(x, t, c_{\epsilon,\eta}^j)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq m^{-2} Cw(x'', m^{-1}),$$

Now let $c^\wedge = (c_1^\wedge, \dots, c_m^\wedge) = c_{\epsilon,\eta}^j$ which, as we know, is admissible, and set $\sigma_{2,m}(x; t) = \sigma_2(t, c^\wedge)$, $t \in [0, 1]$

Then (1.1) and (1.2) hold the latter in $[0, t_{j+1}]$, and by virtue of (2.11) and (2.12) and (1.15) and (1.18)

$$x'(t_{j+1}) - \sigma_{2,m}(t_{j+1}, c^\wedge) = 0,$$

and

$$(2.19) \quad 0 \leq x(t_{j+1}) - \sigma_{2,m}(t_{j+1}, c^\wedge) \leq m^{-2} Cw(x'', m^{-1}).$$

We conclude step 1 by designating $j_l = l + j$. if $j_l < l$, the $t_{j_l} < 1$, and we proceed to step 2, which is almost a mirror image of step 1.

Step 2. write $t_i = t_{j_l} + i$ $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - j_l$, and let $c_*^{\wedge(0)} = (c_1^\wedge, \dots, c_{j_l}^\wedge, c_{j_l}^*, \dots, c_{m-1}^*)$, for $1 \leq i \leq m - j_l$, set

$$c_*^{\wedge(i)} = c_*^{\wedge(0)} + \sum_{l=1}^i (c_{j_l+l}^* - c_{j_l+l-1}^*) e^{(j_l+l)}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (c_1^\wedge, \dots, c_{j_l}^\wedge, c_{j_l}^*, \dots, c_{m-1}^*) & , \quad i = 0 \\ (c_1^\wedge, \dots, c_{j_l}^\wedge, c_{j_l+1}^*, \dots, c_{j_l+i}^*, c_{j_l+i}^*, \dots, c_{m-1}^*) & , \quad 1 \leq i \leq m - j_l - 1, \\ (c_1^\wedge, \dots, c_{j_l}^\wedge, c_{j_l+1}^*, \dots, c_m^*) & , \quad i = m - j_l \end{cases}$$

note that

$$(2.20) \quad c_*^{\wedge(m-j_l)} = c^\wedge.$$

By virtue of (2.12) and (2.15) we have

$$2.21 \quad \delta_2(x, t_0, c_*^{\wedge(i)}) = 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq m - j_l$$

and it is readily seen that $\delta_2(x, \cdot, c_*^{\wedge(i)})$, $1 \leq i \leq m - j_l - 1$ is decreasing in $[t_0, t_i]$ and increasing in $[t_i, 1]$,

since $\delta_2''(x, t, c_*^{\wedge(i)}) = x''(t) - c_{j_1+k}^* = x''(t) - x''(t_k) \leq 0$, $t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k)$, $1 \leq k \leq i+1$,

and $\delta_2''(x, t, c_*^{\wedge(i)}) = x''(t) - c_{j_1+k-1}^* = x''(t) - x''(t_{k-1}) \geq 0$, $t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k)$, $i+1 \leq k \leq m - j_1$,

so by (2.21) , in particular , $\delta_2(x, \cdot, c_*^{\wedge(0)})$ is nonnegative in $[t_i, 1]$, so that $\delta_2(x, \cdot, c_*^{\wedge(0)})$ is increasing and by (2.18), nonnegative there , and $\delta_2(x, \cdot, c_*^{\wedge(m-j_1)})$ is non positive in $[t_i, 1]$, so that $\delta_2(x, \cdot, c_*^{\wedge(m-j_1)})$ is decreasing there . We proceed as in step 1 , except that for an admissible $c \in R^m$,

we denote $\tau_{\min}(c) = \left(\int_0^1 |\delta_2(x, \tau, c)|^p d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \min_{t_0 \leq t \leq 1} \delta_2(x, t, c)$

note that $\tau_{\min}(c_*^{\wedge(i)}) \geq t_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - j_1$, thus if

$$\delta_2(x, \tau_{\min}(c_*^{\wedge(m-j_1)}), c_*^{\wedge(m-j_1)}) = \delta_2(x, 1, c_*^{\wedge(m-j_1)}) \geq \frac{-1}{2} C m^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1})_p$$

Then we put $\tilde{c} = (\tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_m) = c_*^{\wedge(m-j_1)}$, and set $\sigma_{2,m}(x; t) = \sigma_2(t, \tilde{c})$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

In view of 2.20 ,(1.1) and (1.2) are satisfied and step 2 is complete . other wise

$$\delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_*^{\wedge(m-j_1)}), c_*^{\wedge(m-j_1)}) < \frac{-1}{2} C m^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1})_p$$

While as has been mentioned , $\delta_2(x, \cdot, c_*^{\wedge(0)})$ is nonnegative in $[t_i, 1]$. Hence for some $0 \leq i \leq m - j_1$

$$\delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_*^{\wedge(i)}), c_*^{\wedge(i)}) \geq \frac{1}{2} m^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1})_p > \delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_*^{\wedge(i+1)}), c_*^{\wedge(i)})$$

Repeating the considerations of step 1 in mirror image which we only out line below (leaving the details to the reader) , there exists $c_{j_1+1}^* - c_{j_1+i+1}^* \leq \varepsilon \leq 0$, so the $c_\varepsilon^{\wedge(i)}$ is admissible and

$$(2.22) \quad \delta_2(x, \tau_p(c_\varepsilon^{\wedge(i)}), c_\varepsilon^{\wedge(i)}) = \frac{-1}{2} m^{-2} C w(x'', m^{-1})_p$$

As before $\delta_2(x, \cdot, c_\varepsilon^{\wedge(i)})$ is decreasing in the interval $[t_i, \tau_p(c_\varepsilon^{\wedge(i)})]$, and in order to estimate it from above in $[t_i, \tau_p(c_\varepsilon^{\wedge(i)})]$, it suffices to estimate it in $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$. To this end we have by Taylor's formula

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_2(x, t, c_\varepsilon^{\wedge(i)}) &= \delta_2(x, t_i, c_\varepsilon^{\wedge(i)}) + \delta_2(x, t_i, c_\varepsilon^{\wedge(i)})(t - t_i) + \int_{t_i}^t \int_{t_i}^\tau \delta_2''(x, \theta, c_\varepsilon^{\wedge(i)}) d\tau d\theta \\ &\leq \delta_2(x, t_i, c_\varepsilon^{\wedge(i)}) + \left(\int_{t_i}^t \int_{t_i}^\tau \delta_2''(x, \theta, c_\varepsilon^{\wedge(i)}) \right)^p d\theta d\tau \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \delta_2(x, t_i, c_\varepsilon^{\wedge(i)}) + \left\| \delta_2''(x, \cdot, c_\varepsilon^{\wedge(i)}) \right\|_p \\ &\leq c m^{-2} w(x'', m^{-1})_p + \frac{1}{2} m^{-2} C w(x'', m^{-1})_p \\ &= \frac{3}{2} m^{-2} C w(x'', m^{-1})_p , \end{aligned}$$

where we have applied (2.19) , and the fact $\delta_2'(x, t_i, c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)})$ for later reference we conclude that

$$(2.23) \quad \left(\int_a^b |\delta_2(x, t, c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)})|^p dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{3}{2} m^{-2} Cw(x'', m^{-1})_p$$

Now

$$\tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}) \in [t_j, t_{j+1}] \quad , \quad i \leq j < m - j_1 \quad , \quad \tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}) = t_{j+1}$$

Then we take $\tilde{c} = (\tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_m) = c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}$, and set $\sigma_{2,m}(x; t) = \sigma_2(t, \tilde{c})$, $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\frac{-1}{2} m^{-2} Cw(x'', m^{-1}) \leq x(t) - \sigma_2(t, \tilde{c}) \leq \frac{3}{2} m^{-2} Cw(x'', m^{-1})_p \quad , \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_{j+1} \quad ,$$

$$\text{and (2.24)} \quad x(t_{j+1}) - \sigma_2(t_{j+1}, \tilde{c}) = \frac{-1}{2} m^{-2} Cw(x'', m^{-1})_p$$

Furthermore

$$x'(t_{j+1}) - \sigma_{2,m}'(t_{j+1}, \tilde{c}) = 0$$

And step 1 is complete . If on the other hand $\tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}) < t_{j+1}$, then we again need some fine – tuning and we continue with step 2 .Just as in step 1 . We find an

$$c_{j_1+j}^* - c_{j_1+j+1}^* - \epsilon \geq \eta \geq -\epsilon \quad \text{so that } c_{\epsilon, \eta}^{\wedge(j)} \text{ is admissible and } \delta_2'(x, t_{j+1}, c_{\epsilon, \eta}^{\wedge(j)}) = 0$$

Compare with 2.14 & 2.15 again

$$\delta_2(x, t, c_{\epsilon, \eta}^{\wedge(j)}) = \delta_2(x, t, c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}) \quad , \quad t \in [t_0, t_j]$$

So it suffices to estimate $\delta_2(x, \dots, c_{\epsilon, \eta}^{\wedge(j)})$ in $t \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$. To this end compare eith (2.16) & (2.17) we obtain by (2.22)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_2(x, t, c_{\epsilon, \eta}^{\wedge(j)}) &= \delta_2(x, t, c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}) + \eta \sigma_2(t, e^{j_1+j+1}) \\ &\geq \delta_2(x, \tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}), c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}) + (c_{j_1+j}^* - c_{j_1+j+1}^*) \\ &\geq \frac{-1}{2} m^{-2} Cw(x'', m^{-1})_p + \frac{-1}{2} m^{-2} Cw(x'', m^{-1})_p \\ &= -m^{-2} Cw(x'', m^{-1})_p . \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta_2(x, t, c_{\epsilon, \eta}^{\wedge(i)}) \leq \delta_2(x, \tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}), c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}) + \delta_2'(x, \tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}), c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(t - \tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)})) + \int_{\tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)})}^t \int_{\tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)})}^\tau \delta_2''(x, \theta, c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}) d\tau d\theta \\ &\leq \delta_2(x, \tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}), c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}) + \left(\int_{\tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)})}^t \int_{\tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)})}^\tau \delta_2''(x, \dots, c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}) d\theta d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \delta_2(x, \tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}), c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}) + \frac{1}{2} \|\delta_2''(x, \dots, c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)})\|_p (t - \tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}))^2 \quad , \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\delta_2'(x, t_{j+1}, c_{\epsilon, \eta}^{\wedge(j)}) = 0 .$$

Here we have used the fact $\delta_2'(x, \tau_{\min}(c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}), c_\epsilon^{\wedge(i)}) = 0$

$$(2.26) \quad -m^{-2}Cw(x'', m^{-1}) \leq \delta_2(x, t_{j+1}, c_{\epsilon, \eta}^{\wedge(j)}) \leq 0.$$

Now we write $j_2 = j_1 + j + 1$.denote $\tilde{c} = (\tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_m) = c_{\epsilon, \eta}^{\wedge(j)}$.

Which is admissible , and set

$$\sigma_{2,m}(x; t) = \sigma_2(t, \tilde{c}), \quad t \in [0,1].$$

Then it follows that (1.1)&(1.2) hold (the latter in $[0, t_{j_2}]$) and $x'(t_{j_2}) - \sigma_{2,m}^2(t_{j_2}, \tilde{c}) = 0$

Furthermore , by (2.24) and (2.26)

$$-m^{-2}Cw(x'', m^{-1}) \leq x(t_{j_2}) - \sigma_{2,m}(t_{j_2}, \tilde{c}) \leq 0.$$

This completes step 2

If $j_2 = m$ then we are done . Other wise , $t_{m, j_2} < 1$, and we return to step 1 , the only difference this time is that we have (2.27) (like (2.18)) indeed of $\delta_2(x, 0, c) = 0$. This

accounts for the lower estimate of the right of t_{j_2} , being $-\frac{3}{2}m^{-2}Cw(x'', m^{-1})$, just as in (2.23) .However ,the upper estimate of the newly constructed spline in that interval is $m^{-2}Cw(x'', m^{-1})$, just as in (2.25) . We alternately repeat step 2&1 until we get to the end point . The upper and lower estimates each time we apply step 1 , never exceed $m^{-2}Cw(x'', m^{-1})$ and $-\frac{3}{2}m^{-2}Cw(x'', m^{-1})$ respectively , and when we apply step 2 they never exceed $\frac{3}{2}m^{-2}Cw(x'', m^{-1})$ and $-m^{-2}Cw(x'', m^{-1})$ respectively . The construction is achieved in finitely many steps (at most m steps) , then we obtain quadratic spline $\sigma_{2,m}(x, \cdot)$ that satisfies (1.1)&(2.2)

In order to prove (1.3) we see that by virtue of lemma 1 .(1.2) and (1.4) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|x'(\cdot) - \sigma_{2,m}'(x, \cdot)\|_p &\leq 2m \|x(\cdot) - \sigma_{2,m}(x, \cdot)\|_p + \frac{1}{2m} \|x''(\cdot) - \sigma_{2,m}''(x, \cdot)\|_p \\ &\leq (2m \frac{3}{2}m^{-2} + \frac{1}{2}m^{-1})Cw(x'', m^{-1})_p \\ &= \frac{7}{2}m^{-1}Cw(x'', m^{-1})_p. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem under the additional assumption that $x(0)=x'(0)=x''(0)=0$, for a general $x \in \Delta_+^3 L_p^2([0,1])$, we take $\tilde{x}(t) = x(t) - (0) - x'(0)t - \frac{1}{2}x''(0)t^2$, $t \in [0,1]$

This completes the proof.

References:

[1] A. Hussain, E. Samir, Orders of coapproximation of functions by algebraic polynomials. Alqqadisia Journal. for Pure and Sciences.1(9)(2004), 338-345.
 [2] E. Samir, On the constrained and unconstrained approximation. Ph.D. thesis, Baghdad University, College of Education (Ibn-AlHaitham), 2004.
 [3] A. Hussain, E. Samir, Weak copositive approximation and Whitney theorem in $L_p, p < 1$, Jordan Journal of Applied Science-Natural Sciences.2(8), (2006),51-58.

- [4] E. Samir, Almost copositive polynomial approximation in $L_p[-1,1]$, $p < 1$, First Conference on Mathematical Sciences(2006), Zarqa Private University, Jordan, 52-57.
- [5] Estimates for Comonotone Polynomial Approximation in L_p , Second Conference on Mathematical Sciences(2008), Zarqa Private University, Jordan,(to appear).
- [6] V. Konovalov, D. Leviatan, Estimates on the approximation of 3-monotone functions by 3-monotone Quadratic splines. East J. Approx. (2001), 333-349.

تقريب الدوال الرتيبة - 3 بالدوال الرتيبة - 3 في فضاءات LP

إيمان سمير بهية* رويده رزاق محسن*

* قسم الرياضيات/ كلية التربية/ جامعة بابل

** قسم الرياضيات/ كلية الرياضيات وعلوم الحاسبات/ جامعة الكوفة

الخلاصة

حصلنا على مبرهنة مباشرة للتقريب الانى الى دوال رتيبة - 3 في فضاءات LP باستخدام السبلاينز في LP.