On A Subclass Of *P*- Valent Functions With Negative Coefficients Defined By Integral Operator By Applying Fourier Series I

Rafid Habib Buti Department of Mathematics and Computer Applications College of Sciences University of Al-Muthanna E-mail Rafidhb@yahoo.com Samawa – Iraq

Abstract :

In this paper, we introduced a new subclass $S_p^*(A, \delta, \mu, \lambda, t, \beta, \theta)$ which consists of analytic and p-valent functions with negative coefficients in the unit disk defined by integral operator. We obtain coefficient estimates and some results including applications of Fourier series.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords and Phrases :

p – valent Functions, Integral Operator, Fourier Series.

1.Introduction

Let $T_p (p \in IN)$ denote the class of functions of the form :

$$f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}$$
, (1)

which are analytic and p-valent in the unit disk $U = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } |z| < 1 \}$. Let S_p denote the subclass of T_p consisting of functions of the form :

$$f(z) = z^{p} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{p+n}| z^{p+n}$$
, (2)

Definition 1:

For $-1 \le A < 1$ and $0 \le \delta < p$, $0 < \lambda \le 1$, , $0 \le \mu < 1$, $0 < t \le 1$, $\beta \ge 0$, $\theta \ge -1$, a function $f \in T_p$ is said to be in the class $T_p^*(A, \delta, \lambda, \mu, t, \beta, \theta)$ if and only if

$$\left|\frac{(\lambda+\mu)\left[z(Q_{\theta}^{\beta}f(z))'-p(Q_{\theta}^{\beta}f(z))\right]}{tz(Q_{\theta}^{\beta}f(z))'-(Ap+(t-A)\delta)(Q_{\theta}^{\beta}f(z))\right|}<1,$$
(3)

where (Q_{θ}^{β}) is the generalized Jan – Kim – Srivastava integral operator [2] defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (Q^{\beta}_{\theta}f(z)) &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta+\theta+p)}{z\Gamma(\beta p)\Gamma(\theta+p)} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\theta-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}f(t)dt \\ &= z^{p} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p) \Big| a_{p+n} \Big| z^{p+n} \,, \end{aligned}$$

(4)

where

$$\Psi(n, \beta, \theta, p) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \theta + p)\Gamma(\theta + n)}{\Gamma(\theta + p)\Gamma(\beta + \theta + n)},$$
(5)

and for
$$\beta = 0$$
 we have $Q_{\theta}^{0} f(z) = f(z)$. Let

$$S_{p}^{*}(A, \delta, \mu, \lambda, t, \beta, \theta) = T_{p}^{*}(A, \delta, \lambda, \mu, t, \beta, \theta) \cap S_{p}$$
(6)

We note that class $S_p^*(-1,0,1,0,1,0,0)$ was studied by Geol and Sohi [1]. The class $S_1^*(-1,\delta,1,0,1,0,0)$ was studied by Silvarman [4].The

class $S_p^*(-A,0,1,0,1,0,0)$ was studied by

Pashkokeva and Vasilev [3].

2. Coefficient Estimates

In the following theorem , we obtain the coefficient estimates for the class $S_p^*(A, \delta, \mu, \lambda, t, \beta, \theta)$.

Theorem 1:

The result is sharp.

(7)

Proof:

A function f(z) defined by (2) be in the class $S_p^*(A, \delta, \mu, \lambda, t, \beta, \theta)$ if and only if

Let (7) holds true and |z| = 1. Then

 $\left| (\lambda + \mu) \left[z (Q_{\theta}^{\beta} f(z))' - p (Q_{\theta}^{\beta} f(z)) \right] \right|$

 $\left|\sum_{1}^{\infty} \Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p)n(\lambda+\mu) \left| a_{p+n} \right| z^{p+n} \right|$

 $-\left|tz(Q_{\theta}^{\beta}f(z))'-(Ap+(t-A)\delta)(Q_{\theta}^{\beta}f(z))\right|$

$$= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p)n(\lambda+\mu) |a_{p+n}| z^{p+n}}{|(t-A)(p-\delta)z^{p} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p)[t(n+p-\delta) - A(p-\delta)] |a_{p+n}| z^{p+n}} | < 1$$

Using the fact that $|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \le |z|$ for any

z, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p)n(\lambda+\mu)\big|a_{p+n}\big|z^{p+n}}{(t-A)(p-\delta)z^{p}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p)[t(n+p-\delta)-A(p-\delta)]a_{p+n}\big|z^{p+n}}\right\}<1$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p) [n(\lambda+\mu)+t(n+p-\delta)-A(p-\delta)] a_{p+n} \le (t-A) (Choose values of z on the real axis so that \frac{z(Q_{\theta}^{\beta}f(z))'}{z} is real. Upon clearing$$

the denominator in (8) and letting $z \to 1^-$ through real values we obtain $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p)[n(\lambda+\mu)+t(n+p-\delta)-A(p-\delta)]a_{p+n}| \le (t-A)(p-\delta)$

The function

$$f(z) = z^{p} - \frac{(t-A)(p-\delta)}{\Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p)[n(\lambda+\mu)+t(n+p-\delta)-A(p-\delta)]} z^{p+n}$$

$$-\left|(t-A)(p-\delta)z^{p}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p)[t(n+p-\delta)-A(p-\delta)]a_{p+n}|z^{p+n}\right|$$
(9)

is extermal function.

 $\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p) [n(\lambda+\mu)+t(n+p-\delta)-A(p-\delta)] a_{p+n} - (t-A)(p- \underbrace{\mathbf{Gorollary 1:}}_{\text{If } f(z) \in S_p^*(A,\delta,\mu,\lambda,t,\beta,\theta) \text{, then } Hence by the principle of maximum}$

modulus $f(z) \in S_p^*(A, \delta, \mu, \lambda, t, \beta, \theta)$. Conversely, suppose that

$$\frac{(\lambda + \mu) \left[z(Q_{\theta}^{\beta} f(z))' - p(Q_{\theta}^{\beta} f(z)) \right]}{t z(Q_{\theta}^{\beta} f(z))' - (Ap + (t - A)\delta)(Q_{\theta}^{\beta} f(z))} \right]$$

$$\left|a_{p+n}\right| \leq \frac{(t-A)(p-\delta)}{\Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p)\left[n(\lambda+\mu)+t(n+p-\delta)-A(p-\delta)\right]}$$

Theorem 2:

Let $f(z) \in S_p^*(A, \delta, \mu, \lambda, t, \beta, \theta)$. Then the integral operator

$$F_{k}(z) = (1-k)z^{p} + kp \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(u)}{u} du ,$$

(k \ge 0, z \epsilon U)

(11) is also in $S_p^*(A, \delta, \mu, \lambda, t, \beta, \theta)$ if $0 \le k \le \frac{1}{p}$

Proof :

By virtue of (11) it follows from (1) that

$$F_{k}(z) = (1-k)z^{p} + kp \int_{0}^{z} \left(\frac{u^{p} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{p+n}| u^{p+n}}{u} \right) du$$
$$= z^{p} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma(n, k, p) |a_{p+n}| z^{p+n}$$
(12)
where $\gamma(n, k, p) = \frac{kp}{n}$.

But

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p) [n(\lambda+\mu)+t(n+p-\delta)-A(p-\delta)] \gamma(n,k,p) |a_{p+n}|$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p) \Big[n(\lambda+\mu) + t(n+p-\delta) - A(p-\delta) \Big] \frac{kp}{n} \Big| a_{p+n} \Big|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p) [n(\lambda+\mu)+t(n+p-\delta)-A(p-\delta)] k p \|a_p\|_{2}$$

Since |kp| < 1 and by (7) last expression is less than or equal to $(t-A)(p-\delta)$, so the proof is complete.

The Fourier series is defined by the form :

 $f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx)$ such that a_0, a_n, b_n are constant. But $a_0 = a_n = 0$, we get

$$z^{p}(1-z^{n}f(x)) = z^{p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}\sin(nx)z^{p+n}$$

(14)

Let the function Y(z, x) be defined by the form :

$$Y(z, x) = f(z) * z^{p}(1 - z^{n}f(x))$$

$$= z^{p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{p+n}| b_{n} \sin(nx) z^{p+n}$$
(15)

where f(z) defined by (2). In the next theorem, we show that the function Y(z, x) be in the class $S_p^*(A, \delta, \mu, \lambda, t, \beta, \theta)$.

Theorem 4:

Let $f(z) \in S_p^*(A, \delta, \mu, \lambda, t, \beta, \theta)$ be defined by (2). Then the function Y(z, x) defined by (12) be in the $S_p^*(A, \delta, \mu, \lambda, t, \beta, \theta)$, if $b_n \le 1$,

$$-2\pi \le nx \le 2\pi$$

Proof :

Т

To prove the function $Y(z, x) \in S_p^*(A, \delta, \mu, \lambda, t, \beta, \theta)$, we must to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{|a_{p+n}|} \Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p) \Big[n(\lambda+\mu) + t(n+p-\delta) - A(p-\delta) \Big] \\ & X \quad |b_n \sin(nx)| |a_{p+n}| \le (t-A)(p-\delta) \end{aligned}$$

So

 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p) \Big[n(\lambda+\mu) + t(n+p-\delta) - A(p-\delta) \Big] b_n \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (nx) \Big|_{a_{p+n}} a_{p+n} \Big|_{B_n} = 0 \quad \text{in the } S_p^*(A,\delta,\mu,\lambda,t,\beta,\theta) \text{ , if } E(n) \leq 1, \quad -2\pi \leq nx \leq 2\pi.$

since $b_n \le 1$ and for $-2\pi \le nx \le 2\pi$, we get $sin(nx) \le 1$, then

The proof of Theorem 5 is similar to proof of Theorem 4.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p) [n(\lambda+\mu)+t(n+p-\delta)-A(p-\delta)] b_n \sin(nx) a_p M. \text{ Goel and N. S. Sohi,}$$

$$Multivalent functions with$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi(n,\beta,\theta,p) [n(\lambda+\mu)+t(n+p-\delta)-A(p-\delta)] a_{p+n} \leq (t-A)(p-\delta) negative coefficients, \text{ Indian J.}$$

Then
$$Y(z,x) \in S_p^*(A,\delta,\mu,\lambda,t,\beta,\theta)$$
.

So the proof is complete. Let the function f(x) defined by the form :

$$f(x) = -1 - \pi < x < 0$$

1 $0 < x < \pi$ The Fourier series of the function f(x) is defined by the form :

$$R(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(1-(-1)^n)}{n\pi} \sin(nx).$$

Suppose that function Q(z, x) be defined by the form:

$$Q(z, x) = f(z) * z^{p} (1 - z^{n} R(x))$$

= $z^{p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{p+n}| E(n) z^{p+n}$

(16)

where
$$E(n) = \frac{2(1 - (-1)^n)}{n\pi} \sin(nx)$$
,
(17)

and f(z) defined by (2).

In the next theorem, we show the function Q(z, x) be in the class $S_{p}^{*}(A,\delta,\mu,\lambda,t,\beta,\theta)$.

Theorem 5: Let $f(z) \in S_p^*(A, \delta, \mu, \lambda, t, \beta, \theta)$ be defined by (2). Then the function Q(z, x) defined

EFERENCES

[2] I.B. Jang, Y.C. Kim and H.M. Srivastava ,The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one – parameter families of integral operator , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 176 (1998), 138-197.

Pure Math. 12 (7) (1981),844-853.

[3] D. Z. Pashkouleva, K.V. Vasilev, class On a of multivalent functions with negative coefficients, J. Mathemateca, Tom 34, KH.3 (2004),

61-67.

[4] H. M. Srivastava, Generalized hypergeometric functions and associated families of k-uniformly convex and k-starlike functions, Gen. Math. Vol. 15, Nr. 2-3 (2007) , 201-226.