Response of Olive Trees (*Olea europaea* L.) cv. Zaity to Bio health and Foliar Spray of Tecamin max and Boron

Araz Siyar Faris* and Shaymaa Mahfodh Abdulqader**

* College of Technical Akre/ Duhok Polytechnic University/ Kurdistan Region/ Republic of Iraq

** College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences/ University of Duhok/ Kurdistan Region/ Republic of Iraq

Corresponding author Email: shaymaa.mahfodh@uod.ac

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36077/kjas/2024/v16i1.10878

Received date: 27/12/2022

Accepted date: 19/1/2023

Abstract

During the growing season of 2022, the experiment was conducted in one of the private orchards located in Hassan ava village, Zakho district, Dohuk governorate, Kurdistan region, Iraq, to study the response of olive tree (Olea europaea L.) cv. Zaity to biofertilizer (bio health) and foliar spray of the amino acid (tecamin max) and Boron. Olive trees were planted 6 x 6 m apart on clay sandy loam soil and fed with well water using a drip irrigation system. Olive trees were treated with three levels of biofertilizer (bio health) at $(0, 1, 0 \text{ and } 15 \text{ g.L}^{-1})$, added to the soil, and three levels of the foliar spray with amino acid (tecamin max) at (0, 10, 15 ml.L⁻¹), and three levels (0, 200 and 300 mg. L⁻¹) of boron foliar spray. A factorial experiment with three replications was carried out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD), using one tree for each experimental unit. The study reached the following results: application of biofertilizer (bio health) in the soil, especially at 15 g.L⁻¹, and foliar spraying of the amino acid (tecamin max) at 15 ml.L⁻¹ led to a significant increase in all studied characters (leaves mineral content N, P, K, and B%) and physical characters of fruit (fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width and total yield per tree) except pulp: stone ratio, while the control treatment gave the lowest average for the aforementioned traits. Foliar spray of boron especially the level of 300 mg. L⁻¹, led to a significant increase in all the studied characteristics (leaves mineral content N, K, and B%) and physical characters of fruit (fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width, and total yield per tree) except pulp: stone ratio and phosphorus content in leaves, while the comparison treatment gave the lowest average for the aforementioned traits. There was also a significant increase in the binary and triple interactions of the study treatments on all the studied traits, especially the high levels of the study factors (15 g.L⁻¹ bio-health, 15 ml.L⁻¹ tecamin max, and 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron).

Keywords: Biofertilizer, Amino acid, Boron, Olive tree cv. Zaity



Introduction

The olive tree (Olea europaea L.), family Oleaceae, is an evergreen tree and one of the oldest fruit trees believed to be indigenous to the entire Mediterranean basin. Its cultivation was considerably developed in Syria and Palestine and spread to the island of Crete towards Egypt (13). Olive is widely cultivated all over Kurdistan region, especially in the mountainous regions, occasionally in the steppes and foothill region, frequently in FNI, Bashiqa and Bahzani. The plant is also found most probably growing wild in MAM: Dihey village, about 65 km North Duhok, altitude 985m; Bekhair mountain, West Duhok altitude about 130m, North West facing, near Syria frontier (31). In 2020, estimated number of olive fruitful trees growing in Iraq, including nearly 1329191 tree produces up to 33912 tons, and average production per tree 25.51 kg (8). While acreage of olive in the world reached about 10578246 hectares, with production of 19464495 tons (5). Main producing countries are Spain the Italy, Morocco, Turkey and Greece (5). Biofertilizer is defined as a product which contains living microorganisms that, when applied to soil of surfaces of plants colonize the rhizosphere or the plant internal tissues and induce plant growth. Biofertilizers are typically bacteria or fungi capable of nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, oxidization, sulfur plant hormone production, or decomposition of organic compounds (37). Bio-fertilizer acts as a soil conditioner adding organic matter to the soil which helps to bind the soil particles together preventing soil eructing, desertification, and erosion while increasing

the water retention capacity of the soil (35). Due to their low-cost, environmental friendliness, and beneficial effects on plant growth, bio-fertilizers are increasingly being used, according to recent studies. The production of bio-fertilizers increased widely, including the bio health product, which contains Trichoderma harzianum and Bacillus sublutus. Trichoderma is belonged to Deuteromycota fungi. It is located in the rhizosphere and contributes significantly to plant growth through its secretion of many organic and biochemical compounds that enter into plant metabolism and contribute to the regulation and increase of plant growth (12). It also effects the formation of a dense root system, thus increasing the surface area for absorption of water and nutrients (10), as well as reducing the absorption of heavy elements and salty by the roots, dismantling organic compounds in the soil and gaining the plant high resistance against pathogens and increasing the readiness of nutrients (22). Many researchers have indicated that Trichoderma fungus secretes a number of enzymes that degrade plant residues in the soil, the most important of which is cellulose enzyme. It also secretes the enzymes chitenas and B-103-Glucalas, which contribute to the elimination of pathogenic fungi pythium, as well as the ability of the fungus to secret substances similar to auxin and gibberellin and its ability to increase the availability of iron and also works on dissolving phosphorous and increasing its readiness (3). The researchers showed that bacillus bacteria have a high ability to dissolve phosphorus because they secrete organic acids that cause the pH of the soil to be lowered, increasing the availability of



some micronutrients and phosphorous (32). Porras et al., (22) reported that inoculating olive trees with biofertilizer (mycorrhizal fungi) increased plant growth and their ability to uptake nitrogen, phosphorus and Ameen and Al-Hamdani, potassium. (4) discovered that applying biofertilizers to olive trees of the cultivar Sorani of Olea europaea L. significantly increased the studied properties at a rate of 40 gm L⁻¹ (yield rate per tree, fruit weight, fruit volume, pulp thickness). Also, Reves-Díaz et al., (26) reached a significant increase in all characteristics of fruit yield of olive trees cultivar Manzanillo after treating them with biofertilizer at a level of (15g/tree). Amino acid are organic compounds that contain amine (-NH2) and carboxyl (-COOH) functional groups, along with a side chain (R group) specific to each amino acid (20). Amino acids are organic precursor and constituents of the protein (24), which are important for stimulation of cell growth. They include both acid and basin groups and work as a buffer, which aid to maintain favorable or indirectly influence the physiological activities in plant growth and development such as exogenous application of amino acids have been reported to modulate the growth (33). Tecamin max is a free amino acid compound from a plant source, activating the biological processes of construction and metabolism within the plant, thus increasing most of the growth traits (34). However, effects of foliar application of amino acid on the growth, functionally, are involved in the enzymes reliable for the structural photosynthesis process. Also have chelating effect on micronutrients when applied together

making absorption and transportation of micronutrients easier inside the plant (1). Also, amino acid is a well-known biostimulant which has positive effects on plant growth and yield (17). Al-Isaw and Al-Janabi, (2) showed a significant effect in most of the studied growth characteristics of olive trees cv. K18 especially with foliar spaying of amino acids at a concentration of $(1.5 \text{ ml. } \text{L}^{-1})$ which were achieved a significant superiority in the leaves content of nitrogen. Boron is considered as the major element for the plant nutrient and growth. Boron is used with calcium in cell wall synthesis and is essential for cell division. Boron requirements are much higher for reproductive growth so it helps with pollination, seed development and fruit, other function include translocation of and carbohydrate, sugars nitrogen metabolism, formation of certain protein, of hormone levels regulation and transportation of potassium to stomata (which help regulate internal water balance) (40). Hegazi et al., (11) investigated the effect of foliar spray boron at (0, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500 mg. L⁻¹) on olive trees. The obtained results indicated that boron application significantly improved fruit yield and fruit quality compared with the control. The highest increment of all mentioned traits was achieved at concentration of 200 mg. L⁻ ¹ boron. Gul *et al.*, (6) study the effect of different boron concentrations (0, 200, 400 and 600ppm) was applied as foliar sprays to olive tree cv. Manzanillo. Maximum fruit size, fruit flesh and minimum stone were recorded at 600 ppm foliar spray of boron. The aim of this study is to improve vegetative growth nutritional status and



yield characteristics of olive (*Olea europaea* L.) cv Zaity, preserving the soil and environment from pollution by using environmentally friendly fertilizer like (Biofertilizer and Amino acid) and also to determine the optimum concentration of boron foliar application that could lead to an increase in yield and fruit characters of olive trees.

Material and Methods

The current study was carried out at a private orchard in Hassan ava village, Zakho district, Duhok governorate, Kurdistan region, Iraq, during the growing season (2022). This region is 582 meters above sea level and located $(37^\circ 06' \text{ N}, 42^\circ 39' \text{ E})$ to evaluate the reaction of the olive tree (Olea europaea L.) cv. Zaity to biofertilizer (bio health), amino acid (tecamin max), and boron foliar spray. This study used 15-yearold healthy and nearly uniform olive trees (Olea europaea L.) cv. Zaity planted 6×6 m apart on clay sandy loam soil with drip watering. The experiment includes three factors, the first factor involved three levels of bio-fertilizer (Bio Health): [bio health (Trichoderma strains and bacillus subtilis, humic acids and seaweed extract)], as soil application at conc. $(0, 10 \text{ and } 15 \text{ g.L}^{-1})$. The decided concentration was dissolved in a liter of water, then the solution was added to soil around the tree, second factor include three levels of amino acids (Tecamin Max) [tecamin max (contains 20 essential amino acid, isoleucine, phenylalanine, methionine, leucine. valine. tyrosine, tryptophan, arginine. proline. glycine, cysteine, glutamic, ac, histidine, aspartic, asparagine, glutamine, serine, threonine, alanine and

lysine)], as foliar application at conc. (0, 10 and 15 ml.L⁻¹). The third factor include three levels of Boric acid H₃BO₃ (B approx. 17.48%) as foliar application at conc. (0, 200 and 300 mg. L^{-1}). All treatments in all factors were applied twice per season: first: prior-flowering. Second: after setting of fruits. The trees were sprayed in the morning till the runoff and the Tween 20 was added at 0.1 ml/L to reduce the surface tension of water molecules while the controls were sprayed only by distilled water with Tween20. Normal cultural practices, such as pruning, suckers removing, cultivation and weed control was performed at constant rate at the required. The experiment was consisting of twenty-seven treatments (3 conc. of biofertilizer (bio-health) x 3 conc. of amino acid (tecamin max) x 3 conc. of boric Acid (H₃BO₃), with three replications for each experiment unit, using factorial experiment by using (RCBD) design. All results are analyzed statistically by using SAS program. The collected data subject to analysis of variance and means separate by Duncan Multiple range test at the level of 5%. The experimental measurements were recorded as following: Leaves mineral content (N, P, K and B %), Fruit weight (g), Fruit length (mm), Fruit width (mm), pulp: stone ratio and total yield per tree (kg. tree⁻ ¹).

Results and Discussion

Leaves nitrogen concentration (%): data reported in table (1) illustrates that soil application of bio health at 15g.L⁻¹ and foliar spray with tecamin max at 15ml.L⁻¹ and boron at 200mg. L⁻¹ recorded the leaves nitrogen concentration's highest significant



value (2.59, 2.22 and 2.06%) respectively. Regarding the effect of interaction between bio health + tecamin max, bio health + boron and tecamin max + boron, there was a significantly affected on leaves nitrogen concentration, the maximum value (2.90, 2.67 and 2.27%) respectively, was obtained when olive trees were treated with 15g.L⁻¹ bio health + 15ml.L⁻¹ tecamin max, 15g.L⁻¹ bio health + 200mg. L⁻¹ boron and 15ml.L⁻¹ + 200mg. L⁻¹, whereas the minimum value was occurred at the interaction of control treatment. Results of interaction among bio health, tecamin max and boron, indicated that the interaction among $15g.L^{-1}$ bio health + $15ml.L^{-1}$ tecamin max + 200mg. L⁻¹ boron produced the highest leaves nitrogen concentration (2.94%), whereas the control treatment produced the lowest.

Table 1. Effect of bio health, tecamin max and boron and their interactions or
leaves nitrogen concentration (%) of olive trees cv. Zaity

		B	oron (mg. L	-1)		
Bio health (g.L ⁻¹)	Tecamin max (ml.L ⁻¹)	0	200	300	Bio health * Tecamin max	Mean effect of Bio health
	0	1.14 m	1.24 lm	1.37 k-m	1.25 h	
0	10	1.47 jk	1.55 i-k	1.55 i-k	1.52 g	1.48 c
	15	1.56 i-k	1.74 hi	1.66 h-j	1.65 f	
	0	1.81 gh	1.81 gh	1.89 e-h	1.84 e	
10	10	1.88 f-h	2.04 d-g	2.02 d-g	1.98 d	1.98 b
	15	2.13 de	2.13 de	2.08 d-f	2.12 c	
	0	2.20 cd	2.55 b	2.39 bc	2.38 b	
15	10	2.48 b	2.52 b	2.47 b	2.49 b	2.59 a
	15	2.83 a	2.94 a	2.91 a	2.90 a	
Die heelth	0	1.39 e	1.51 de	1.53 d	Maan offaat of	
* Donon	10	1.94 c	1.99 c	2.00 c	Toopmin may	
* DOLOH	15	2.50 b	2.67 a	2.59 ab	recamm max	
Tecamin	0	1.72 e	1.87 d	1.88 cd	1.82 c	
max *	10	1.94 b-d	2.03 b	2.01 bc	2.00 b	
Boron	15	2.18 a	2.27 a	2.22 a	2.22 a	
Mean effect of Boron		1.95 b	2.06 a	2.04 a		

Mean within a column, row and their interaction following with the same latter are not significantly different according to Duncan multiple range test at the probability of 0.05 level

Leaves phosphor concentration (%): based on the outcomes from table (2), it was discovered that soil application of bio health at 15 g.L⁻¹ resulted in the significant effect in leaves phosphor concentration and exhibited the greatest value (0.570%), in comparison to other treatment. Foliar spray of tecamin max at both levels (10 and 15 ml.L⁻¹) significantly increased leaves phosphor concentration, highest percentage was with high level of tecamin max (15 ml.L⁻¹) which gave (0.487%), whereas there are no significant differences between all treatments of boron spraying. In respect with



the interaction between bio health + tecamin max, bio health + boron and tecamin max + boron indicated that the effect of interaction was a significant effect of leaves phosphor concentration and the highest value (0.627, 0.592 and 0.503%) were obtained from the treatment combination of 15 g.L⁻¹ bio health + 15 ml.L⁻¹ tecamin max, 15 g.L⁻¹ bio health + 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron and 15ml.L⁻¹ + 200 mg. L⁻¹ boron. The control treatment gave the lowest value. Results of bio health, tecamin max and boron interaction indicated that there was a significant effect on leaves phosphor concentration and displayed that the interaction among 15 g.L⁻¹ bio health + 15 ml.L⁻¹ tecamin max + 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron produced the highest leaves phosphor concentration (0.647%) compared to the control treatment's lowest value.

Table 2. Effect of bio health, tecamin max and boron and their interactions on
leaves phosphor concentration (%) of olive trees cv. Zaity

		В	oron (mg. L ⁻¹)	_	
Bio health (g.L ⁻¹)	Tecamin max (ml.L ⁻¹)	0	200	300	Bio health * Tecamin max	Mean effect of Bio health
	0	0.167 i	0.230 hi	0.283 g-i	0.227 f	
0	10	0.327 e-i	0.307 f-i	0.360 d-h	0.331 e	0.311 c
	15	0.363 d-h	0.400 c-h	0.367 d-h	0.377 de	
	0	0.433 b-g	0.440 b-g	0.433 b-g	0.436 cd	
10	10	0.403 c-g	0.397 c-h	0.470 b-f	0.423 cd	0.439 b
	15	0.430 b-g	0.467 b-f	0.480 a-f	0.459 cd	
	0	0.487 a-e	0.510 a-d	0.527 a-d	0.508 bc	
15	10	0.560 a-c	0.560 a-c	0.603 ab	0.574 ab	0.570 a
	15	0.590 ab	0.643 a	0.647 a	0.627 a	
Dia haalth *	0	0.286 d	0.312 d	0.337 d	Mean effect	
Dio liealtii *	10	0.422 c	0.434 c	0.461 bc	of Tecamin	
Boron	15	0.546 ab	0.571 a	0.592 a	max	
Tecamin	0	0.362 c	0.393 bc	0.414 a-c	0.390 b	
max *	10	0.430 a-c	0.421 a-c	0.478 ab	0.443 a	
Boron	15	0.461 ab	0.503 a	0.498 a	0.487 a	
Mean effect	of Boron	0.418 a	0.439 a	0.463 a		

Mean within a column, row and their interaction following with the same latter are not significantly different according to Duncan multiple range test at the probability of 0.05 level.

Leaves potassium concentration (%): table (3) clearly shows that there was a significant difference in leaves potassium concentration among the three treatments, Bio health at 15 g. L^{-1} , tecamin max at 15 ml. L^{-1} gave the highest significant value (0.846 and 0.808%) respectively, when compared to the control

treatment, foliar applications of boron at 200 mg. L^{-1} and 300 mg. L^{-1} showed a significant benefit, and the maximum value was obtained from 300 mg. L^{-1} which gave (0.781%). The interaction between bio health + tecamin max, bio health + boron and tecamin max + boron showed that there



was a significant influence on leaves potassium concentration. Moreover, the highest value (0.918, 0.858 and 0.831%) was obtained at 15 g.L⁻¹ bio health + 15 ml.l⁻¹ tecamin max, 15 g.L⁻¹ bio health + 200 mg. L⁻¹ boron and 15 ml.L⁻¹ tecamin max + 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron respectively. Regarding the interaction's impact on bio health, tecamin max and boron, the maximum leaves potassium concentration (0.953%) was acquired when olive trees treated with maximum value of each treatment; it was significantly higher compared to most of other interactions. Furthermore, the lowest value was obtained at the control treatment.

		В	oron (mg. L ⁻			
Bio health (g.L ⁻¹)	Tecamin max (ml.L ⁻¹)	0	200	300	Bio health * Tecamin max	Mean effect of Bio health
	0	0.5531	0.5831	0.667 jk	0.601 f	
0	10	0.647 k	0.697 ij	0.723 hi	0.689 e	0.677 c
	15	0.693 ij	0.770 e-g	0.763 f-h	0.742 d	
	0	0.760 f-h	0.770 e-g	0.787 d-f	0.772 c	
10	10	0.770 e-g	0.787 d-f	0.760 f-h	0.772 c	0.769 b
	15	0.743 gh	0.770 e-g	0.777 d-g	0.763 c	
	0	0.807 c-e	0.807 c-e	0.787 d-f	0.800 b	
15	10	0.817 cd	0.830 bc	0.813 cd	0.820 b	0.846 a
	15	0.863 b	0.937 a	0.953 a	0.918 a	
D:- 1 141. *	0	0.631 f	0.683 e	0.718 d	Mean effect	
Blo nealth *	10	0.758 c	0.776 c	0.774 c	of Tecamin	
Boron	15	0.829 b	0.858 a	0.851 a	max	
Tecamin	0	0.707 d	0.720 d	0.747 c	0.724 c	
max *	10	0.744 c	0.771 b	0.766 bc	0.760 b	
Boron	15	0.767 bc	0.826 a	0.831 a	0.808 a	
Mean effect	of Boron	0.739 h	0.772 a	0.781 a		

Table 3. Effect of bio health, tecamin max and boron and their interactions on leaves potassium concentration (%) of olive trees cv. Zaity

Mean within a column, row and their interaction following with the same latter are not significantly different according to Duncan multiple range test at the probability of 0.05 level

Leaves boron concentration (%): table (4) indicate that increasing levels of bio health, tecamin max and boron significantly increased leaves boron concentration, the maximum value (25.54, 20.62 and 20.49 %) were obtained from soli application of 15 g. L^{-1} bio health, spraying tecamin max at 15 ml. L^{-1} and boron at 300 mg. L^{-1} . Concerning the interaction of bio health + tecamin max,

bio health + boron and tecamin max + boron, table (4) clearly shows that the highest leaves boron concentration (26.47, 22.49 and 27.11%) resulted from the interaction of 15 g. L⁻¹ bio health + 15 ml. L⁻¹ tecamin max, 15 g. L⁻¹ bio health + 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron and 15 ml.L⁻¹ tecamin max + 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron respectively, there were significant as compared to other interactions.



Regarding how the three factors under study interacted with one another (bio health, tecamin max and boron), the highest value of leaves boron concentration (28.15%) was obtained from the treatment combination of 15 g. L^{-1} bio health + 15 ml. L^{-1} tecamin max + 300 mg. L^{-1} boron.

	Tecamin	B	Boron (mg. L [.]	- Bio health	Mean	
Bio health (g.L ⁻¹)	max (ml.L ⁻¹)	0	200	300	* Tecamin max	effect of Bio health
	0	11.57 n	13.18 l-n	13.35 l-n	12.70 g	
0	10	12.63 mn	16.02 i-k	14.25 k-m	14.30 f	13 97 c
Ū	15	13.43 l-n	14.65 j-m	16.62 ij	14.90 ef	13.77 0
	0	14.48 k-m	17.20 hi	15.08 j-l	15.59 e	
10	10	15.83 i-k	16.67 ij	21.10 ef	17.87 d	17.98 b
	15	18.90 gh	19.83 fg	22.70 de	20.48 c	
	0	22.35 de	24.08 cd	25.77 bc	24.07 b	
15	10	24.15 cd	26.65 ab	27.42 ab	26.07 a	25.54 a
	15	23.32 d	27.94 a	28.15 a	26.47 a	
Dia haalth *	0	12.54 g	14.62 f	14.74 f	Mean effect	
Blo nealth *	10	16.41 e	17.90 d	19.63 c	of Tecamin	
Boron	15	23.27 b	26.22 a	27.11 a	max	
F acan : n mar	0	16.13 e	18.16 d	18.07 d	17.45 c	
* Donon	10	17.54 d	19.78 c	20.92 b	19.41 b	
* Boron	15	18.55 d	20.81 bc	22.49 a	20.62 a	

Table 4. Effect of bio health, tecamin max and boron and their interactions on leaves boron concentration (%) of olive trees cv. Zaity

Mean within a column, row and their interaction following with the same latter are not significantly different according to Duncan multiple range test at the probability of 0.05 level

20.49 a

19.58 b

Fruit weight (g): table (5) indicates that increasing levels of the soil application of bio health and foliar sprayed with tecamin and boron to the olive trees max significantly increased fruit weight, the highest value (4.19, 3.55 and 3.37g) resulted from 15 g. L⁻¹ bio health, 15 ml. L⁻¹ tecamin max and 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron respectively. The same table also shows that the bilateral interactions significantly increased fruit weight which recorded the highest value of fruit weight (4.47, 4.30 and 3.67g) from the

17.41 c

Mean effect of Boron

interaction between 15 g. L^{-1} bio health + 15 ml. L^{-1} tecamin max, 15 g. L^{-1} bio health + 300 mg. L^{-1} boron and 15 ml. L^{-1} tecamin max + 300 mg. L^{-1} respectively, compared to the lowest value obtained from untreated trees (control). Data in the same table clearly demonstrates that the three factors (bio health, tecamin max, and boron) when combined effect on fruit weight significantly, maximum value of each treatments recorded maximum value (4.57g)



and untreated tree gave the lowest value (1.67g).

		Bo	oron (mg. L	Z ⁻¹)		
Bio health (g.L ⁻¹)	Tecamin max (ml.L ⁻¹)	0	200	300	Bio health * Tecamin max	Mean effect of Bio health
	0	1.67 n	1.93 mn	2.20 lm	1.93 h	
0	10	2.30 kl	2.30 kl	2.57 jk	2.39 g	2.36 c
	15	2.60 jk	2.83 ij	2.87 h-j	2.77 f	
	0	2.90 g-j	2.73 ij	3.00 g-i	2.88 ef	
10	10	2.70 ij	3.27 fg	3.23 f-h	3.07 e	3.12 b
	15	3.43 ef	3.23 f-h	3.57 d-f	3.41 d	
	0	3.70 de	3.83 cd	4.10 bc	3.88 c	
15	10	4.20 ab	4.27 ab	4.23 ab	4.23 b	4.19 a
	15	4.37 ab	4.47 ab	4.57 a	4.47 a	
D ² - 1 141	0	2.19 f	2.36 ef	2.54 e	Mean effect	
BIO nealth	10	3.01 d	3.08 cd	3.27 c	of Tecamin	
* Boron	15	4.09 b	4.19 ab	4.30 a	max	
Tecamin	0	2.76 f	2.83 f	3.10 de	2.90 c	
max *	10	3.07 e	3.28 cd	3.34 bc	3.23 b	
Boron	15	3.47 а-с	3.51 ab	3.67 a	3.55 a	
Mean effect	t of Roron	310h	3 21 h	3 37 a		

Table 5. Effect of bio health, tecamin max and boron and their interactions on fruit weight (g) of olive trees cv. Zaity

Mean within a column, row and their interaction following with the same latter are not significantly different according to Duncan multiple range test at the probability of 0.05 level.

Fruit length (mm): table (6) explained that the soil application of bio health at 15 g. L^{-1} and foliar spray of tecamin max at 15 ml.L⁻¹ and boron at 300 mg. L⁻¹ significantly increased fruit length which registered (23.45, 22.67 and 21.96 mm) respectively in lowest comparison with value. The interactions of bio health + tecamin max, bio health + boron and tecamin max + boron have a significant effect on fruit length. The highest value (24.27, 23.73 and 23.04 mm) was obtained from the interaction of 15 g. L⁻

¹ bio health + 15 ml. L⁻¹ tecamin max, 15 g. L⁻¹ bio health + 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron and 15 ml. L⁻¹ tecamin max + 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron respectively. There were significant differences among the mean of fruit length as a result of interaction among bio health, tecamin max and boron. The maximum fruit length (24.80mm) was obtained at the interaction of 15 g. L⁻¹ bio health + 15 ml. L⁻¹ tecamin max + 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron. While the minimum value of fruit length was obtained from the control treatment.



Rio Tecamin		Be	oron (mg. L ⁻¹	Rio health *	Meen	
health (g.L ⁻¹)	max (ml.L ⁻¹)	0	200	300	Tecamin max	effect of Bio health
	0	17.30 m	18.271	19.10 kl	18.22 f	
0	10	19.27 k	19.17 kl	20.97 j	19.80 e	19.94 c
	15	21.67 g-ј	22.53 d-g	21.23 ij	21.81 cd	
	0	21.00 j	21.37 h-j	21.47 h-j	21.28 d	
10	10	22.23 e-i	21.30 h-j	21.57 g-ј	21.70 cd	21.64 b
	15	21.43 h-j	22.30 e-h	22.10 f-i	21.94 c	
	0	22.70 c-f	22.57 d-g	23.17 с-е	22.81 b	
15	10	23.47 b-d	23.13 с-е	23.23 с-е	23.28 b	23.45 a
	15	23.70 bc	24.30 ab	24.80 a	24.27 a	
Bio	0	19.41 d	19.99 c	20.43 c	Mean effect	
health *	10	21.56 b	21.66 b	21.71 b	of Tecamin	
Boron	15	23.29 a	23.33 a	23.73 a	max	
Tecamin	0	20.33 g	20.73 fg	21.24 ef	20.77 c	
max *	10	21.66 de	21.20 ef	21.92 cd	21.59 b	
Boron	15	22.27 bc	23.04 a	22.71 ab	22.67 a	
Mean effe	ct of Boron	21.42 b	21.66 ab	21.96 a		

Table 6. Effect of bio health, tecamin max and boron and their interactions of	on
fruit length (mm) of olive tree cv. Zaity	

Mean within a column, row and their interaction following with the same latter are not significantly different according to Duncan multiple range test at the probability of 0.05 level

Fruit width (mm): table (7) pointed out that there was significant difference between the treatments. Increasing the levels of bio health, tecamin max and boron significantly increased fruit width, the highest value of fruit width is registered in the olive trees fertilized with 15 g. L⁻¹ bio health, 15 ml. L⁻¹ tecamin max and 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron, which 16.03 (16.75,and 15.55mm) are respectively. As for the interactions, data in table (7) indicates that the interaction of bio health + tecamin max, bio health + boron and tecamin \max + boron were significantly differed, the maximum value of fruit width (17.96, 17.22 and 16.28mm) were obtained by the interaction of 15 g. L⁻¹ bio health + 15 ml. L⁻¹ tecamin max, 15 g. L⁻¹ bio health + 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron and 15 ml.L⁻¹ + 200 mg. L⁻¹ compared with the lowest value from the control. The same table made it abundantly clear that the three factors studied had a positive impact on fruit width with regard to their interaction, the maximum value (18.67mm) was resulted from the interaction of 15 g. L⁻¹ bio health + 15 ml. L⁻¹ tecamin max + 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron in comparison with untreated trees which gave the lowest value of fruit width.



	Tacamin	В	oron (mg. L ⁻	- Die heelth *	Maan	
Bio health (g.L ⁻¹)	max (ml.L ⁻¹)	0	200	300	Tecamin max	effect of Bio health
	0	11.73 m	12.53 lm	13.47 j-l	12.58 f	
0	10	13.53 j-l	13.33 kl	14.20 i-k	13.69 e	13.67 c
	15	14.47 h-j	15.27 e-i	14.53 g-j	14.76 d	
	0	15.10 f-i	15.33 e-i	15.43 e-h	15.29 cd	
10	10	15.30 e-i	15.33 e-i	15.27 e-i	15.30 cd	15.32 b
	15	15.07 f-i	15.70 d-g	15.37 e-i	15.38 cd	
	0	15.37 e-i	15.93 d-f	16.27 c-f	15.86 c	
15	10	16.33 с-е	16.27 c-f	16.73 cd	16.44 b	16.75 a
	15	17.33 bc	17.87 ab	18.67 a	17.96 a	
Dia haalth*	0	13.24 e	13.71 de	14.07 d	Mean effect	
Dio licaluli*	10	15.16 c	15.46 c	15.36 c	of Tecamin	
DOPOIL	15	16.34 b	16.69 ab	17.22 a	max	
Tecamin	0	14.07 e	14.60 de	15.06 cd	14.57 c	
max *	10	15.06 cd	14.98 cd	15.40 c	15.14 b	
Boron	15	15.62 bc	16.28 a	16.19 ab	16.03 a	
Mean effect of Boron		14.91 b	15.29 a	15.55 a		

Table 7. Effect of bio health, tecamin max and boron and their interactions on fruit width (mm) of olive trees cv. Zaity

Mean within a column, row and their interaction following with the same latter are not significantly different according to Duncan multiple range test at the probability of 0.05 level.

Pulp: stone ratio: As can be seen from table (8), there was no discernible impact of pulp: stone ratio when bio health was applied to the soil and tecamin max and boron were sprayed onto the leaves. The interaction between bio health + tecamin max and bio health + boron displayed that there was no significant effect of pulp: stone ratio, while the interaction between tecamin

max + boron, the highest value (3.08) was obtained at 0 ml. L^{-1} tecamin max with 300 mg. L^{-1} boron. In respect with the interaction among bio health + tecamin max + boron, the highest value of pulp: stone ratio (3.35) was obtained from the treatment combination of 0g. L^{-1} bio health + 0 ml. L^{-1} tecamin max + 300 mg. L^{-1} boron.



	. .	Bo	oron (mg. L	-1)	Bio health * Tecamin max	
Bio health (g.L ⁻¹)	max (ml.L ⁻¹)	0	200	300		Mean effect of Bio health
	0	2.24 d	2.97 a-d	3.35 a	2.85 a	
0	10	2.72 a-d	3.13 а-с	3.13 а-с	2.99 a	2020
U	15	3.06 a-d	3.25 а-с	2.47 b-d	2.93 a	2.92 a
	0	2.75 a-d	2.77 a-d	3.11 a-c	2.88 a	
10	10	2.81 a-d	3.11 a-c	2.51 a-d	2.81 a	2.74 a
	15	2.49 a-d	2.72 a-d	2.43 cd	2.55 a	
	0	2.39 cd	3.11 a-c	2.79 a-d	2.76 a	
15	10	3.32 ab	2.43 cd	3.22 а-с	2.99 a	2.81 a
	15	2.73 a-d	2.45 b-d	2.90 a-d	2.69 a	
Dia haalth	0	2.67 a	3.12 a	2.98 a	Mean effect	
* Donon	10	2.68 a	2.87 a	2.68 a	of Tecamin	
· DOLOH	15	2.81 a	2.66 a	2.97 a	max	
Tecamin	0	2.46 c	2.95 ab	3.08 a	2.83 a	
max *	10	2.95 ab	2.89 а-с	2.95 ab	2.93 a	
Boron	15	2.76 а-с	2.81 a-c	2.60 bc	2.72 a	
Mean effect	t of Boron	2.72 a	2.88 a	2.88 a		

Table 8. Effect of bio health, tecamin max and boron and their interactions onpulp: stone ratio of olive trees cv. Zaity

Mean within a column, row and their interaction following with the same latter are not significantly different according to Duncan multiple range test at the probability of 0.05 level.

Total yield (kg. tree⁻¹): table (9) illustrates that increasing levels of bio health, tecamin max and boron significantly increased total yield (kg. tree⁻¹). The highest value (29.96, 29.54 and 29.07 kg. tree⁻¹) were obtained in the tree receiving 15 g.L⁻¹ bio health, 15 ml.L⁻¹ tecamin max and 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron respectively, as compared to the control treatment. Concerning the interaction of bio health + tecamin max, bio health + boron and tecamin max + boron, data in table (9) shows that the interaction of 15 g. L⁻¹ bio health + 15 ml. L⁻¹ tecamin max, 15 g. L⁻¹ bio health + 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron and 15 ml. L⁻¹ ¹ tecamin max + 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron give the maximum value of total yield. tree⁻¹ (31.22, 30.47 and 30.05kg. tree⁻¹) respectively, compared to the minimum value from control. For the interaction among three studied factors (bio health, tecamin max and boron), The same table shows that the interaction of 15 g. L⁻¹ bio health + 15 ml. L⁻¹ tecamin max + 300 mg. L⁻¹ boron give the highest value (32.57kg. tree⁻¹) which differed significantly from other treatment, the lowest value was recorded with control treatment.



	T	В	oron (mg. L ⁻¹		M	
Bio health (g.L ⁻¹)	max (ml.L ⁻¹)	0	200	300	Bio health* Tecamin max	effect of Bio health
	0	26.43 h	28.12 e-g	27.78 g	27.44 d	
0	10	27.77 g	28.37 d-g	28.42 c-g	28.18 cd	27.93 с
	15	28.45 c-g	27.96 g	28.06 fg	28.15 cd	
	0	28.19 d-g	28.67 c-g	27.89 g	28.25 c	
10	10	28.69 c-g	28.74 c-g	28.58 c-g	28.67 bc	28.72 b
	15	29.30 c-g	28.91 c-g	29.54 c-f	29.25 b	
	0	29.62 c-e	28.89 c-g	29.20 c-g	29.24 b	
15	10	29.14 c-g	29.52 c-f	29.64 cd	29.43 b	29.96 a
	15	29.91 c	31.17 b	32.57 a	31.22 a	
Dia haal4h*	0	27.55 d	28.15 cd	28.08 cd	Mean effect	
Dio licaluli*	10	28.73 c	28.77 с	28.67 c	of Tecamin	
DOLOH	15	29.56 b	29.86 ab	30.47 a	max	
Tacamin	0	28.08 c	28.56 bc	28.29 c	28.31 c	
Tecamin may* Daran	10	28.53 bc	28.88 bc	28.88 bc	28.76 b	
шах* Богоп	15	29.22 b	29.34 ab	30.05 a	29.54 a	
Mean effect	of Boron	28.61 b	28.93 ab	29.07 a		

Table 9	. Effect	of bio	health,	tecamin	max and	boron a	nd their	interactions	s on
total yie	eld (kg. 1	(ree ⁻¹)	of olive	trees cv.	. Zaity				

Mean within a column, row and their interaction following with the same latter are not significantly different according to Duncan multiple range test at the probability of 0.05 level.

The present study was investigating the effect of soil application of biofertilizer (biohealth) on leaves mineral content, yield and physical characters of the olive fruits cv. Zaity. It is clear that all studied parameters (leaves mineral content N, P, K and B) from tables (1-4), fruit quantitative and yields characteristics from table (5-9) were significantly affected by biofertilizer (biohealth). The effect of biohealth on leaf mineral content (N, P, K and B), which may be due to its containing *Trichoderma harzinum*, *Bacillus subtilis* and humic acid where *Trichoderma* fungi colonize the root zone and secrete many organic acids that

contributes to lowering soil PH, which increases the availability and absorption of nutrients, especially iron, zinc and manganese (12), as well as its positive effect on the formation of a dense root hair density, which increases the surface area for absorption of water and nutrients (9) and this is reflected in the plant's content of nutrients N, P and K, and these results agree with (28), and the reason for the improvement leaf mineral content (N, P, K and B) may be due to the high ability of Bacillus bacteria to dissolve phosphorous through its secretion of many of organic acids as well as an increase in the availability of some nutrients, especially the macro elements (32), and thus this effect was reflected on the increase in the root



system and its increase leaf mineral content (N, P, K and B). Humic acid improves the chemical and physical properties of soil as it improves soil aeration and increases its fertility by increasing availability of some elements and increasing the activity of microorganisms the beneficial effect in the rhizosphere of the soil and encouraging its colonization of the root zone (38). The positive superiority in the yield and physical characters of the olive fruits (fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width, pulp: stone ratio and total yield per tree) after adding biofertilizer (bio health) is due to the effect of Trichoderma harzinum. Bacillus subtilis in providing appropriate conditions for root growth through dismantling soil and improving its physical and chemical properties, in addition to analyzing complex organic compounds into simple ones. Easy to absorb and convert nutrients such as phosphorous and nitrogen from complex form that is difficult to absorb by roots into an easily absorbable form, which leads to an increase and accumulation of minerals in plant tissues, activating the physiological processes of the plant, cell division and increasing its volume, which positively affects the weight and volume of the fruit, it also works to build some vitamins special properties such as vitamin B and other compounds and enzymes that inhibit a number of fungal and bacterial diseases in the soil lead to maintaining the health of the roots from pathogens, which allows the maintenance of plant activity and its vital process, cell division and increase in volume and the formation of phenolic compounds and fatty acids, which are basis in the formation of fruit and improving its quality

(7). Or, it may be due to the importance of bio-fertilizers in controlling soil PH and thus controlling the availability of mineral elements and their availability to plants. which improves vegetative growth and increases fruit yield (25). While the moral superiority in the amount of yield shown in (table 9) may be due to the effect of biofertilizers (bio-health) in providing mineral elements such as nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium and their accumulation in plant tissue, and these elements are the basis in all vital functions. For plants photosynthesis, and energy production needed for tree growth, fruit formation, yield increase and other physical characters in fruits (27). These results are in line with those a number of researchers on different fruit trees (25 and 27).

Amino acid (Tecmine max) foliar spray affected significantly leaf mineral content and yield parameters. The positive effect of amino acids on nutritional status (N, P, K and B), yield as well as physical characteristics of the olive fruits (fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width, pulp; stone ratio and total yield per tree) in olive tree, might be attributed to the ant oxidative aspects of these amino acids which plays important role in plant defense against oxidative stresses induced by unfavorable conditions. Also, they are responsible for stimulating the biosynthesis of proteins, natural hormones like IAA, ethylene, cytokinin and GA3, DNA, RNA, cell division, organic foods ad plant pigments These beneficial effects surely (21). reflected on producing healthy trees. The present positive effects of amino acids on growth aspects, nutritional status of the olive



trees is in agreement with those obtained by (29). Foliar application of amino acids caused an enhancement in plant growth, fruit yield and its components (30) through enhancing growth nutritional status, yield and fruit quantity in different fruit crops (19). Tecamin max is a free amino acid compound from a plant source, activating the biological processes in the pant and causes to increase the processes of construction and metabolism within the plant, thus increasing most of the growth traits. Physical characteristics of the fruits and yield in olive trees (table 5,6,7,8 and 9) significantly increased by the effect of spraying organic nutrient. Amino acids stimulate cell division, elongation and growth of plant tissue, which are responsible for the activity of the apical meristem thus extending the life of cells, where they have a major impact on the vital functions of food production in (34).

For the influence of foliar spray of boron on the leaf mineral content (N, P, K and B) (tables 1, 2, 3 and 4), yield and fruit quantity (fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width, pulp: stone ratio and total yield per tree) (table 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9). It was noticed from obtained results that increasing boron concentration increased in leaf mineral content, yield and physical characters of olive fruits. Foliar spray of boron effected significantly leaf content of boron and yield parameter and this might be due to its role in cell division and cell elongation. Similar finding has been reported by (14 and 15). The increase in (fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width and pulp: stone ratio) with the foliar spray of boron might be due to the contribution in hormonal metabolism, increase in cell

expansion accelerate of cell division and differentiation, cell wall synthesis, lignification and cell wall structural integrity, thereby keep meristematic activity, sugar transport, metabolism of several vital compounds (i. e. carbohydrates, phenol and acid). respiration, Indole acetic and Pincreased in fruit set and the final marketable yield (16; 36 and 39). In addition, boron is considered to be a nutrient that increases the phloem carbohydrate movement, which may increase yield and fruit quality (18).

Conclusion

It can be concluded from this experiment that all studied characters exhibited significant increase by adding bio fertilizer (bio health) to the soil, foliar spray of high level of amino acid (tecamin max) and boron which could be an alternative plant growth substance. This study also revealed that mineral fertilizer like boron could not be excluded from agricultural practices, as the results indicated the significant impact on most studied traits individually or combined. Further studies are required to examine the optimal concentration of bio fertilizer and amino acid and best combination of these growth stimuluses with mineral compound on the different plant.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Abo El-Magd, M. and E. M. El-Azab.2015.Comparison between foliage activator, root activator and soil fertilization in relation with



onion growth, yield and quality of bulbs. Journal of Innovations in Pharmaceuticals and Biological Sciences 2:411–425.

- Al-Isaw, N.A.M. and A. M. I. Al-Janabi.2021.Effect of Foliar Application with Kinetin and Amino Acids in the Vegetative Growth and Chemical Content of Young Olive Trees cv." K18". Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology, 25(4):10067–10076.
- 3. Al-Samerria, I. K.2018.Concepts and Applied of Soil Microbiology. Center Printer 515. Dyala University. Republic of Iraq..
- 4. Ameen, A. and K. A. Al-Hamdani.2022.Effect of chemical, bio-Fertilizers and Jasmonic acid and their interaction on the quantitative, qualitative characteristics of Olive fruits Olea europaea L. cultivar Surani. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, IOP Publishing,

1060(1):012046. DOI:<u>10.1088/1755</u> -1315/1060/1/012046

- 5. FAO, 2021. FAO STAT Agricultural statistics database. http://www.Fao. Central Organization for Org. Statistics and Information Technology (PCBS). The Ministry of Development Planning and Cooperation. Report production of summer fruit trees for the year 2020. Baghdad. Iraq.
- 6. Gul, R.; S. U. Jan; S. Faridullah; S. Sherani and Jahan. N.2017.Preliminary phytochemical screening, quantitative analysis of alkaloids, and antioxidant activity of crude plant extracts from Ephedra intermedia indigenous to Balochistan. The Scientific World Journal. Article ID 5873648. DOI: 10.1155/2017/5873648

- 7. Hamad, Y.H. and R. Al shami.2017.Characterization of some types of rhizosphere bacteria stimulating plant growth from some biofertilizers and soil. Al-Baath University Journal. Syrian.
- 8. Hamza, S.Q. and F. H. Radi.2021. Effect of Biofertilizers on Growth and Medicinal active compounds Content of Olive Transplants. University of Thi-Qar. Journal of Agricultural Research 10:79–84.
- Harman, G.E., 2000. Myths and dogmas of biocontrol changes in perceptions derived from research on *Trichoderma harzinum* T-22. Plant disease 84(4):377–393. doi: 10.1094/PDIS.2000.84.4.377.
- 10. Hartman, J.; D. Vuylsteke; D. Makumbi; R. Ssebulibe and Karamura, D.2000.471 Defining the East African Highland Banana (I AAA) Ideotype and Breeding Objectives. Hort. Science 35, 475B – 475.

DOI: <u>10.21273/HORTSCI.35.3.475B</u>

- 11. Hegazi, R.; M. El-Gamal; N. Abdel-Hady and Hamdy, O.2015. Epidemiology of and risk factors for type 2 diabetes in Egypt. Annals of Global Health 81(6):814–820. doi:
- 12. 10.1016/j.aogh.2015.12.011.
- Hermosa, R.; A. Viterbo; I. Chet and Monte, E.2012.Plant-beneficial effects of Trichoderma and of its genes. Microbiology 158(PT 1):17– 25. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.052274-0.
- 14. **IOC**, **2022.** The Olive Tree; International Olive Council (IOC): Madrid, Spain, 2022. Available online: https://www.internationaloliveoil.org

/olive world/olive-tree/ (accessed on 20 April 2022).

15. Jasrotia, A.; P. Bakshi; V. Wali; B. Bhushan and Bhat, D.



J.2014.Influence of girdling and zinc and boron application on growth, quality and leaf nutrient status of olive cv. Frontio. Afr. J. Agr. Res 9, 1354–1361.

- 16. Keshavarz, K.; K. Vahdati; M. Samar; B. Azadegan and Brown, P. H.2011. Foliar application of zinc and boron improves walnut vegetative and reproductive growth. Hort. Technology 21, 181–186.
- 17. Kizildemir, M.; Bolat, I. and Ak,
 B.2012. Effects of foliar boron applications to almond on some pollen features and fruit set. Presented at the VII International Symposium on Mineral Nutrition of Fruit Crops 984, pp. 171–177.
- 18. Kowalczyk, L.; M. Ratera; A. Paladino; Р. **Bartoccioni;** Е. Errasti-Murugarren; E. Valencia; G. Portella; S. Bial; A. Zorzano, and Fita, I., 2008. Molecular basis of substrate-induced permeation by amino acid antiporter. an Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108, 3935-3940.
- 19. Marschner, H.2011. Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Academic Press. USA.
- 20. Merwad, M.; R. Eisa and Mostafa, E.2015. Effect of some growth regulators and antioxidants sprays on productivity and some fruit quality of Zaghloul date palm. International Journal of Chem. Tech Research 8(4):1430–1437.
- Nelson, D.L. and M. M. Cox.2005. Lehninger's Principles of Biochemistry. Foruth edition. USA. pp. 21–45.
- 22. Orth, A.; A. Sfarra; E. Pell and Tien, M.1993. Assessing the involvement of free radicals in fungicide toxicity using α-tocopherol

analogs. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology, 47:134–141.

- 23. Oskiera, M.; M. Szczech and Bartoszewski, G.2015.Molecular identification of Trichoderma strains collected to develop plant growthpromoting and biocontrol agents. Journal of Horticultural Research, 23(1):75-86. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.2478/johr-2015-</u> 0010
- 24. Porras, A.; M. L. Soriano-Martín;
 A. Porras-Piedra and Azcón,
 R.2009. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi increased growth, nutrient uptake and tolerance to salinity in olive trees under nursery conditions. Journal of Plant Physiology 166(13):1350–1359. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2009.02.010.
- 25. **Rai, V.2002.** Role of amino acids in plant responses to stresses. Biologia Plantarum 45(4):481–487.
- 26. Raimi, A.; A. Roopnarain: J. George and Adeleke, C.R., 2020. Insights into the microbial composition and potential efficiency of selected commercial biofertilizers. Heliyon, 6(7):e04342.doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04342.
- 27. Reves-Díaz, M.; T. Lobos; L. Cardemil; A. Nunes-Nesi; J. Retamales; L. Jaakola; M. Alberdi and **Ribera-Fonseca**, A.2016.Methyl jasmonate: an alternative for improving the quality and health properties of fresh fruits. Molecules 21(6):567. doi: 10.3390/molecules21060567.
- 28. Rodrigues, M. Â.; L. B. Piroli; D. Forcelini; S. Raimundo; L. da Silva Domingues; L. C. Cassol; C. M. Correia and Arrobas, M.2021. Use of commercial mycorrhizal fungi in stress-free growing



conditions of potted olive cuttings. Scientia Horticulture 275: 109712.

- 29. Saeed, F. H.; H. M, Aboud and H. SH, Mogheer, 2011. Effect of isolation of *Trioderm* on phosphor availability and some pant micro minerals. Al-Anbar journal of Agriculture science. 9(1).183-189.
- 30. Sayed-Heba, F.2010.Effect of stimulant amino quelent–Ca and zinc on yield and berries quality of Thompson seedless grapevs. Ph.D. thesis. Faculty of Agriculture. Mina University. Egypt.
- 31. Shafeek, M.; A. H. Ali and Mahmoud, A. R.2016.Foliar application of amino acids and biofertilizer promote execution of broad bean plant (*Vicia faba* L.) under newly reclaimed land conditions. International Journal of Pharm. Tech. Research, 9(6):100– 109.
- 32. **Shahbaz, S.2010**.Trees and Shrubs, A field guide to the trees and shrubs of Kurdistan region of Iraq. Journal of university of Duhok., 602:212-214.
- 33. Sharma, P.; A. B. Jha; R. S. Dubey and Pessarakli, M.2012. Reactive oxygen species, oxidative damage, and antioxidative defense mechanism in plants under stressful conditions. Journal of Botany. Special Issue.
- 34. Shiraishi, M.; H. Fujishima and Chijiwa, H.2010.Evaluation of table grape genetic resources for sugar, organic acid, and amino acid composition of berries. Euphytica, 174(1):1–13. DOI: <u>10.1007/s10681-009-</u> <u>0084-4</u>

- 35. Spinelli, F.; G. Fiori; M. Noferini; M. Sprocatti and Costa, G.2009.Perspectives on the use of a seaweed extract to moderate the negative effects of alternate bearing in apple trees. The Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology 84(6):131–137. DOI: 10.1080/14620316.2009.11512610
- 36. **Swathi, V.2010**. The use and benefits of bio-fertilizer and biochar on agricultural soils unpublished B. Sc. Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering. Chalmers University of Technology Goteborg Sweden. pp. 20-24.
- 37. Usenik. F. V. and Stampar.2001.Effect of foliar application of zinc plus boron on sweet cherry fruit set and yield. Presented at the International Symposium on Foliar Nutrition of Perennial Fruit Plants, 594, pp. 245-249.
- 38. Verma, M.; J. Mishra and Arora, N. K.2019.Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria: diversity and applications, (In: Environmental Biotechnology: For Sustainable Future. Springer, pp. 129–173.).
- 39. Willer, H. and J. Lernoud.2017. The world of organic agriculture. Statistics and emerging trends 2017. Research Institute of Organic Agriculture FiBL and IFOAM-Organics International.
- 40. Wojcik, P. and M. Wojcik.2006.Effect of boron fertilization on sweet cherry tree yield and fruit quality. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 29(10):1755–1766. DOI: 10.1080/01904160600897471.

