

## Efficacy of Silver Nanoparticles, Antimicrobial peptides and Blue Light Combination on Healing Infected Wound by Methicillin Resistance *Staphylococcus aureus* in Rats

Orooba M. S. Ibrahim\* Zahraa M. Ayad Luma W. Omar\* \*Department of physiology and pharmacology- College of Veterinary Medicine/ University of Baghdad

Email: <a href="mailto:oroobam2000@gmail.com">oroobam2000@gmail.com</a>

Received date:10 Sept 2018 Accepted:( 439) 28 Nov 2018 page (84-100) Pubished:31 Dec 2018

#### Abstract

This study was carried out to evaluate the effectiveness of the triple combination of biologically synthesized silver nanoparticles, anti-microbial peptides and visible blue light against pathogenic clinical methicillin resistance Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in vivo after inducing full thickness skin injury in the initially induced diabetic rats. This experiment of *in vivo* study was for evaluation the role of the combinations in promoting wound healing after wound inducing infection using pathogenic MRSA (0.25ml from 1.5  $\times 10^8$  cfu/ml) in diabetic rats. 108 diabetic rats (aged 8-10 weeks weighing 200-250g) were divided equally into nine groups, (treatment started after the appearance of infection signs). Group A: Negative control group, group B: Positive control group, Group C: infected treated with AgNPs 8µg/ml, group D: infected treated with Gramicidin 16µg/ml, group E: infected treated with blue light for 1 hour, group F: infected treated with combination of AgNPs 0.25µg/ml with blue light for 1 hour, group G: infected treated with combination of Gramicidin 8 µg/ml and blue light for 1 hour, group H: infected treated with combination of AgNPs and Gramicidin 0.25 µg/ml, 0.5 µg/ml respectively, group I : infected treated with triple combination of AgNPs, Gramicidin 0.25µg/ml, 0.5µg/ml respectively and blue light for 1 hour. All groups treated topically daily for three days. Wound healing was assessed by measuring wound contraction at 7, 14 and 21 days post wound induction. Viable bacterial count at 21 day post wound induction. Results were according to data analysis, healing rate of treated rat with the triple combination was significantly (p < 0.001) faster (within 7 days) than treated rat with other double combination and each agent alone. All symptoms returned to near normal values at the end of treatment. In conclusion the topical therapy of the triple combination of AgNPs, visible blue light and antimicrobial peptides promote wound healing process in diabetic infected wound in a short period of time. A new approach can be used to combat serious infections caused by MRSA by combining AgNPs, blue light, and antibiotics.

Key words: Silver nanoparticles, Antimicrobial peptides, blue light, MRSA, Wound Healing

#### الخلاصة

أجريت هذه الدر اسة لتقييم فعالية التركيبة الدوائية الثلاثية المكونة من دقائق الفضة النانوية المصنعة حيويا والببتيدات المضادة للجراثيم والضوء الأزرق المرئي ضد عزلة المكورات العنقودية الذهبية المقاومة للمثيسيلين الممرضة (MRSA) داخلُ الجسم الحي بعد إحداث جرح لجميع طبقات الجلد في الجرذان المصابة مسبقا بداء السكري. اجريت هذه التجربة داخل الجسم الحي لتقييم دور التركيبات في تعزيز التئام الجروح بعد احداث الجرح المصاب باستخدام (MRSA) الممرضة ( 0.25 مليلتر من cfu/ml <sup>8</sup> cfu/ml) في الجرذان المصابة بداء السكرى. تم اخذ 108 من الجرذان المصابة بداء السكري قسمت بالتساوي إلى تسع مجموعات ( تم البدء بالعلاج بعد ظهور علامات الإصابة) مجموعة أ مجموعة السيطرة السالبة , مجموعة ب مجموعة السيطرة الموجبة. مجموعة ج: مصابة ومعالَّجة بدقائق الفضة النانوية بتركيز 8µg/ml, مجموعة د: مصابة معالجة بعقار الگرامسيدين بتركيز Ι6μg/ml , مجموعة 🛛 : مصابة معالجة بالضّوء الأزرق المرئي لمدة ساعة ,مجموعة و: مصابة معالجة بتركيبة دقائق الفضة النانوية 0.25μg/ml مع الضوء الأزرق لمدة ساعة, مجموعة ز · مصابة معالجة بتركيبة الكرامسيدين μg/ml 8 مع الضوء الازرق المرئي لمدة ساعة واحدة, مجموعة ح: مصابة معالجة بالتركيبة المزدوجة لدَّقائق الفُّضة النانوية و عقارالْگرامسيدين بتركيز 0.5 μg/m .0.25 μg/ml على التوالي. مجموعة ط: مصابة معالجة بالتركيبة الثلاثية المكونة من دقائق الفضية النانوية وعقارُ الكرامسيدين بُتركيز μg/ml ,0.25μg/ml على التوالي مع الضوء الأزرق المرئي لمدة ساعة. تم علاج كل المجموعات موضعياً ويوميا لمدة ثلاثة أيام. و تم تقييم شفاء الجروح بقياس قطر الجرح في الأيام 7,114,7 بعد إحداث الجرح. أيضًا تم تقدير العد البكتيري الحي في يوم 21 بعد احداث الجرح. سَجلت نتائج الدراسة في الجسم الحي وفقًا لتحليل المعطيات , كان معدل شفاء الجروح في الجرذان المعالجة بالعلاج الثلاثي أسرع بصورة واضحة إحصائيًا (p < 0.001) خلال 7 أيام مقارنة بالجردان المعالجة بالعلاجات المزدوجة والعلاجات المستخدمة على حده. عادت جميع الأعراض بما في ذلك العلامات السريرية إلى القيم القريبة من الطبيعية في نهاية العلاج وبالتالي نستنتج إنَّ العلاج الموضعيُّ للتركيبة الثلاثية المكونة من دقائق الفضّة النانوية والضوَّء الأزرق المرئيّ والببّتيدات المضادة للجراثيم تعزز عملية التئام الجروح في الجروح المصابة في فترة قصيرة من الوقت. وبالتالي يمكن استخدام نهج جديد في مكافحة الإصابات الخطيرة التي تسببها المكور ات العنقودية الذهبية المقاومة للمثيسيلين من خلال الجمع بين دقائق الفضة النانوية AgNPs , الضوء الأزرق المرئي والمضادات الحبوبة

#### Introduction

Diabetes prevalence is increasing in the world, and the appropriate management of patients with diabetes has become increasingly important for the prevention of hospital-acquired infections (1).Surgical wound infections are the second most common cause of nosocomial infections (2). The high rate of surgical wound infections is associated with higher morbidity, mortality increased medical and expenses (3). In spite of the new antibiotics available today, surgical wound infection still remains a threat secondary bacterial due to contamination and widespread use of prophylactic antibiotics that lead to emergence of multi-drug resistant bacteria (4). S. aureus is the most important pathogen in diabetic wound infections; even when it is not the only isolate, it is usually a component of a mixed infection (5). Treatment of aureus has become more difficult because of the emergence of multidrugresistant isolates (6). Methicillinresistant S. aureus (MRSA) presents problems for patients and healthcare facility-staff whose immune system is compromised, or who have open access to their bodies via wounds, catheters or drips (7). Failure of antibiotics to manage infections caused by multidrugresistant (MDR) pathogens, especially MRSA, has triggered much research effort for finding alternative antimicrobial approaches with higher efficiency and less resistance developed microorganisms. Silver bv the nanoparticles (AgNPs) have been reconsidered as a potential alternative to conventional antimicrobial agents (8). The use of AgNPs alone or in combination with other antimicrobial agents has been suggested as a potential alternative for traditional treatment of

infections caused by Staphylococcus

infections caused by MDR pathogens (9). AgNPs were found to exhibit antibacterial activity against MRSA in when tested alone or vitro in combination with other antimicrobial agents (10,11). Blue light is recently attracting increasing attention as a novel phototherapy-based antimicrobial agent that has significant antimicrobial activity against a broad range of bacterial and fungal pathogens with less resistance development chance to antibiotics compared to (12, 13).Furthermore, light blue was bactericidal. their expanded in occurrence responding to the of pathogens through the span of treatment, they are in the same way active against antimicrobial resistant pathogens and was fit for harming bacteria that produce biofilm, and have low intrinsic toxicities (14, 15).therefore the aim of this study to evaluate the combination activity in promoting wounds healing in experimentally induced hyperglycemic rats.

## Materials and methods

## - Preparation of bacteria

The S. aureus (MRSA) was obtained from Al-Hilla Surgical Hospital, Iraq. The bacteria were cultured in Muller-Hinton broth and the suspension was centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was discarded and the bacteria were diluted to  $10^8$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> in sterile phosphate-buffered saline. Ten µL of the bacterial suspension (1.5 × $10^8$  cfu/ml) were added to each wound after induction of full thickness skin incision, immediately (16).

### - Experimental Animals

One hundred eight rats aged 8-10 weeks weighing 200-250g supplied from animal house of college of veterinary medicine/Baghdad university were used to perform the experiment of the present study from (October 2017 to March 2018). They were housed and maintained in a conventional animal facility, with controlled conditions of temperature  $(20\pm5C^{\circ})$ The animals were fed commercial pellet ad libitum. Fresh water was also provided ad libitum. Each group of rat were housed in a plastic cage containing hard wood chip as bedding, and the bedding was changed every three days to ensure a clean environment.

### - Induction of Diabetes in rats

Diabetes was induced by the administration of alloxan monohydrate in a dose 150 mg/ kg body weight intraperitoneally (I.P) for two successive days (17). A group of 12 rats with blood glucose levels above 250 mg/dL was selected for the experiment.

## - Creation of full thickness skin defect

All rat were anesthetized with I.M. injection of (90 mg/kg.BW ketamine), in combination with 40 mg/kg.BW xylazine. The skin area of the back of rat were prepared aseptically for surgery and full thickness skin wounds (4 mm in diameter) were made on dorsal midline using sterile biopsy punch equipment. The wounds were left open without any dressing during the experiment (18). the rat were randomly divided into nine groups of 12 animals.

### - Induction of infection

After creation of the full thickness skin incision, 10  $\mu$ l of the prepared bacterial isolate (MRSA) at concentration of  $1.5 \times 10^8$  CFU/mL was spread along the created wound and let to interfere within the wound and left the wound opened. Then leave the animals until appearance of wound inflammatory and infection signs then started with treatment with therapeutic preparations according to each group:

- Group (A): infected wound left without any treatment.

- Group (B): infected wound treated with glycerine topically daily with AgNPs for three days.

- Group (C): infected wound treated with AgNPs alone topically at conc.8µg/ml daily and for three days.

- Group (D): infected wound treated with Gramicidin alone topically at conc.  $16\mu$ g/ml daily and for three days.

- Group (E): infected wound treated with blue light exposure alone for 1 hour daily and for three days

- Group (F): infected wound treated with combination of AgNPs topically at conc.  $0.25 \mu g/ml$  with exposure of blue light for 1 hour daily and for three days.

- Group (G): infected wound treated with combination of Gramicidin topically at conc. 8  $\mu$ g/ml and blue light exposure for 1 hour daily and for three days.

- Group (H): infected wound treated with combination of AgNPs and Gramicidin topically at conc.  $0.25 \mu g/ml$ ,  $0.5 \mu g/ml$  respectively, daily and for three days.

- Group (I): infected wound treated with triple combination of AgNPs and Gramicidin topically at conc.  $0.25 \,\mu\text{g/ml}, 0.5 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$  respectively with blue light exposure for 1 hour daily and for three days.

#### - Parameters

#### Wound size measurement

All induced wounds were photographed at consecutive time intervals (all images were taken from a distance of 25 cm of wound sites and without zooming). The photos which taken immediately after the induction of wound represent Day 0.As well as Digital photographs were captured on days 0, 7, 14 and 21 of the experiment for wound healing inspection. in respect of evaluate healing efficacy, the induced wounds scar sizes were measured. Wound size (provides the quantity of the wound area) was determined every post-treating day and compared with the initial wound area using the following formula: (19).

wound contraction (%) = 
$$\frac{A_o - A_t}{A_o} x100$$

where, A0 is the initial wound area while At represent the area of wound at the time of photo capturing and bacterial counting (on days 0, 7, 14 and 21, respectively). Image analysis software was used for evaluation of the wound area by taking the images and calibration in same days.

#### Wound infection measurement

Two methods were applied for evaluation of bacterial load at the wound site on day 21. First method was carried out by taking a swab from the wound surface for analyzing surface bacteria. Then the sample was carried to a proper diluents. After that to estimate the bacterial concentration. the suspensions were serially diluted from  $1:10^3$  to  $1:10^{12}$  with sterile Muller-Hinton Broth media and each dilution was spread on Muller-Hintonagar plates.

The second method estimate infection of tissue depth on the 21st day. The entire wound with the nearby normal skin was excised, then tissue biopsies were homogenized in a tissue grinder after weighing and then homogenized in 1.50 mL of normal saline (20). After that the homogeneous tissue 1:10 was placed in the dilution blanks using normal saline in order to serial dilutions preparation, and each dilution was placed on Mannitol Salt Agar plates. The CFU per gram of tissue was calculated by the following equation (21)

 $CFU/g = \frac{Plate\ count\ \times\ (1\ per\ dilution)\ \times\ 10}{Weight\ of\ homogenized\ tissue}$ 

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was applied by two ways ANOVA with least significant differences (LSD) to compare groups means .Probability level P<0.05 and to test the significant differences between the averages of the traits and considered statistically significant applying the SAS method (22).

#### Results

- Evaluation the activity of Silver Nanoparticles in Promoting Healing of Surgical Wound in Experimentally Induced Diabetic Rats

## - Clinical Symptoms of the Rats and Evidence of Diabetes

The clinical signs appeared on the animals soon after intraperitoneal injection of alloxan monohydrate for two consecutive days was general weakness, depression, loss of appetite, and change in the color of hair. The evidence of diabetes was proven by checking blood sugar of rat after two days Fig (1). Furthermore, follow up the blood glucose elevation along the period of the experiment and checked at different time.



Fig. (1) Clinical signs of Depression and General Weakness after the incidence Diabetes

## Evaluation of Wound Healing ProcessMorphologically:

Wounds created by 14.5 mm<sup>2</sup> biopsy punch were consistent in size and shape without significant bleeding. All wound surfaces post-wounding at the time of MRSA challenge with obvious signs of infection. Signs of inflammation pain, swelling, induced slight erythema, exudate, was noted over each wound, the wounds accumulated approximately around the wounds 0.1mL of exudate fig.(2). Exudate is "material, such as fluid, cells or cellular debris, which has escaped from blood vessels or is produced by cells locally, and has been deposited in tissue or tissue surfaces. Larger amounts of exudate were observed on the wounds of control group, and were yellow in color and thicker in consistency than those from the treated group



Fig. (2) gross signs of infection (redness, swelling, pus) of wound

On day 7, the wounds in triple combination treated looked complete healing by gross examination and showed within 14 day, regenerated hair encircling the wound fig. (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9). On day 14, exudates dried and formed scabs on all the wounds treated with double combination and single agent, except control group. We define scab as a crust of dried blood, serum, and exudate over the wound during healing. At day 21, the wounds in the control group were still covered by scabs and the wounds in another treated groups looked healed by gross examination fig. (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9).



Fig.(1) Imaged showed wound healing process of group not treated A-7 days, B-14 days, C- 21 days



Fig.(2) Imaged showed wound healing process of group treated with glycerin onlyA-7 days, B-14 days, C- 21 days



Fig.(3) Imaged showed wound healing process of group treated with AgNPs only, A-7 days, B-14 days, C- 21 days



Fig.(4) Imaged showed wound healing process of group treated with Gramicidin only, A-7 days, B-14 days, C- 21 days



Fig.(5) Imaged showed wound healing process of group treated with Blue light exposure only, A-7 days, B-14 days, C- 21 days



Fig.(6) Imaged showed wound healing process of group treated with AgNPs+Gramicidin, A-7 days, B-14 days, C- 21 days



Fig.(7) Imaged showed wound healing process of group treated with AgNPs + Gramicidin + Blue light, A-7 days, B-14 days, C- 21 days



Fig.(8) Imaged showed wound healing process of group treated with AgNPs + Blue light exposure, A-7 days, B-14 days, C- 21 days



Fig.(9) Imaged showed wound healing process of group treated with Gramicidin + Blue light exposure, A-7 days, B-14 days, C- 21 days  $_{93}^{93}$ 

#### Measurement of wound area

The result in the table (1) illustrated the effect of triple combination AgNPs, gramicidin and blue light and other treatment on wound bed area. Increased wound closure was observed in group treating with triple combination which record at 7 days  $3.35\pm0.12$  (76.81%) and reached 100% closure wound at day 21 compared with another double treated group (F, G and H) which recorded, 56.05, 51.28 and 38.06 % respectively at 7 days, and reached 91.14, 85.32 and 98.26 respectively closer at 21 days. In another hand, higher significant closure wound in treated group with AgNPs 93.07% at 21 days compared with gramicidin and blue light treated group78.75 and 77.92% respectively, in compared with control groups which showed no observed improvement in wound contraction 66.36 and 66.92 in negative and positive control respectively.

# Table (1): Effect of topical application of AgNPs, Gramicidin, blue light and combination on wound area (mm<sup>2</sup>). Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SE.

| Days             |       | W                  |                   |                   |                    |
|------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Groups           | day O | Day 7              | Day 14            | Day 21            |                    |
| Negative         | 14.45 | 12.25±0.12 (15.22) | 9.85±0.15 (31.83) | 4.78±0.25 (66.92) | LSD= 2.957         |
| Control (G A)    |       | A a                | A a               | A b               |                    |
| Positive Control | 14.45 | 12.32±0.27 (14.74) | 8.88±0.05 (38.54) | 4.86±0.11 (66.36) | LSD= 3.548         |
| (G B)            |       | A a                | A a               | A b               |                    |
| AgNPs (G C)      | 14.45 | 8.25±0.15 (42.90)  | 3.05±0.14 (78.89) | 1.00±0.10 (93.07) | LSD=4.639          |
|                  |       | C a                | C b               | D b               |                    |
| Gramicidin       | 14.45 | 10.05±0.17 (30.44) | 6.05±0.12 (58.13) | 3.07±0.18 (78.75) | LSD= 4.852         |
| (G D)            |       | B a                | B b               | B b               |                    |
| blue light       | 14.45 | 10.85±0.12 (24.91) | 7.24±0.05 (49.89) | 3.19±0.02 (77.92) | LSD= 3.389         |
| (G E)            |       | A a                | A b               | Вс                |                    |
| AgNPs+ blue (G   | 14.45 | 7.04±0.12 (51.28)  | 3.16±0.15 (78.13) | 1.28±0.19 (91.14) | LSD= 3.645         |
| <b>F</b> )       |       | C a                | C b               | C b               |                    |
| Gramicidin+blu   | 14.45 | 8.95±0.11(38.06)   | 5.05±0.13 (65.05) | 2.12±0.10 (85.32) | LSD= 3.874         |
| e (G G)          |       | B a                | B b               | C b               |                    |
| AgNPs+ Gram.     | 14.45 | 6.35±0.15 (56.05)  | 3.03±0.12 (79.03) | 0.25±0.10 (98.26) | LSD= 2.598         |
| (G H)            |       | D a                | C b               | D c               |                    |
| AgNPs+ Gram.+    | 14.45 | 3.35±0.12 (76.81)  | 0.05±0.12 (99.65) | 0.00±0.10 (100)   | <b>LSD</b> = 2.942 |
| blue (G I)       |       |                    |                   |                   |                    |
|                  |       | E a                | D b               | D c               |                    |
|                  |       | LSD= 1.594         | LSD=2.274         | LSD=0.957         |                    |

**N=12** Different capital letters denote significant differences ( $p \square 0.05$ ) between group. Different small letters denote significant differences ( $p \square 0.05$ ) within groups. **Group A:** Negative control group (infected non treated). **Group B:** Positive control group (infected with MRSA and treated glycerin).

#### - Viable bacterial count

The result in table (2) illustrated the total and methicillin resistance *Staph.aureus* bacterial count of wound bed in treated and untreated group. Data analysis showed that good inhibition of total bacteria and MRSA growth was gained in all groups treated with AgNPs compared with other treated groups. MRSA count in control group was significantly higher than treated rat was more than 500 colonies on medium after 7 days of infection. At 21 day after treatment significant reduction in wound total and MRSA bacterial count was obtained in all treated groups in compared with control groups.

| Groups       | <b>Bacteria</b> | Days | Bacterial count       |  |
|--------------|-----------------|------|-----------------------|--|
| Negative     | Total           | 21   | 56.32×10°             |  |
| Control      | S. aureus       | 21   | 22.00 cfu/gm          |  |
|              |                 |      |                       |  |
| Positive     | Total           | 21   | 55.42×10 <sup>6</sup> |  |
| Control      | S. aureus       | 21   | 27.00 cfu/gm          |  |
|              |                 |      |                       |  |
| AgNPs        | Total           | 21   | $1.02 \times 10^{3}$  |  |
|              | S. aureus       | 21   | 00.00 cfu/gm          |  |
|              |                 |      |                       |  |
| Gramicidin   | Total           | 21   | 5.42×10 <sup>6</sup>  |  |
|              | S. aureus       | 21   | 1.05 cfu/gm           |  |
|              |                 |      |                       |  |
| Blue light   | Total           | 21   | 6.12×10 <sup>4</sup>  |  |
| -            | S. aureus       | 21   | 2.00 cfu/gm           |  |
|              |                 |      |                       |  |
| AgNPs +      | Total           | 21   | 1.02×10 <sup>4</sup>  |  |
| Gramicidin   | S. aureus       | 21   | 0.00 cfu/gm           |  |
|              |                 |      |                       |  |
| AgNPs + blue | Total           | 21   | $2.14 \times 10^{4}$  |  |
| light        | S. aureus       | 21   | 0.00 cfu/gm           |  |
|              |                 |      |                       |  |
| Gramicidin + | Total           | 21   | 6.42×10 <sup>4</sup>  |  |
| blue light   | S. aureus       | 21   | 2.55 cfu/gm           |  |
|              |                 |      |                       |  |
| AgNPs+       | Total           | 21   | 0.00                  |  |
| Gram.+ blue  | S. aureus       | 21   | 00.00 cfu/gm          |  |

#### Table (2) Bacterial count of wound bed in treated and untreated groups

#### Discussion

Nanomaterials with antimicrobial activity that elevate the effectiveness and safety of antimicrobial administration are called nanoantibiotics (23). Their capability in control of infection has been explored and demonstrated *in vitro* and *in vivo*  (24). Due to prompt prevalence of multidrug-resistant pathogens and insufficient research regarding antibiotic production; the AgNPs could be useful alternatives of routine antibiotic therapy.

Attention to the wound tissue remodeling and its infection is critical

for quick repair with no side effect. Wound healing is a treatment priority especially for diabetic or infected wounds suffering patients (25). Silver is a broad-spectrum antimicrobial that inhibits growth of microbes (26). It has been previously shown that AgNPs have in vitro antibacterial activities against S. aureus (27). In this study, we report in vivo capabilities of AgNPs that appear to accelerate healing of wounds inoculated with S. aureus in a rat model of skin wound. The results of this study indicated that AgNPs had the ability in relieve pain significantly when its applied topically on the wounds infected surgical in experimentally induced diabetic rats. This reduction in pain may be due to the analgesic effect of AgNPs.

Many researchers proved the analgesic action of AgNPs by exerting thermal stimulus on rat tail, and they noticed maximum delay in tail flick after application of AgNPs suggested that it might have some analgesic properties (28,29). Also the findings of this experiment showed that AgNPs able to accelerate infected surgical wounds healing significantly in comparison with the other groups of diabetic rats. The result of this study was in agreement with other studies showed that the application of AgNPs enhanced wound healing (30-34). AgNPs could improve the healing of wound initially on the basis of the known antimicrobial well property, as as the antiinflammatory effect. A great problem in the infected surgical wounds healing is bacterial contamination. the The presence of bacteria, mainly multiorganisms resistant and bacterial MRSA in the wound delays the woundhealing process because of competing with host cells for nutrients and oxygen. Furthermore, their waste products are also toxic to host cells (35).

Wound healing was potentially slowed or arrested by a number of different

events and conditions. One event that hinders wound healing is colonization of the wound bed by microorganisms (36). In addition to the production of a variety of toxins and proteases, the presence of microorganisms in a wound may also lead to a prolonged inflammatory response. The host inflammatory response is remarkably effective at eliminating the invading microbial population, but that same process over time may also damage the surrounding tissues (37). The presence of a bacterial burden in a wound stimulates pro-inflammatory а environment, the presence of bacteria induces also migration of monocytes, macrophages, and leukocytes, all of which initially act in an appropriate fashion but later produce a response that is exaggerated and deleterious. This is evidenced by the fact that wounds associated with a heavy bacterial load often show healing failure. In addition, bacteria and bacterial products, such as endotoxins and metalloproteinases, can cause disturbances in all phases of wound healing (38,39).

Increased bacterial burden in a wound also affects tissue oxygen availability. Leukocytes are needed in the burn to kill phagocytic bacteria-by mechanisms that involve consumption of significant amounts of molecular oxygen. In severely under perfused wounds, increased oxygen consumption by inflammatory cells can act as a sump, "stealing" oxygen required for basic wound metabolism. In addition, the white blood cells' inflammatory kill response needed to bacteria increases the release of damaging radicals. Antioxidant oxygen free properties of AgNPs may be accelerate wounds healing by scavenging these free radicals, without proper antioxidant activities, wound healing might be delayed, or severe tissue damage can occur. The increased production of enzymes and the release of toxins can also facilitate an induced cellular failure (38). Simultaneously these reasons might be explain why the group infected with MRSA and didn't receive any treatment showed poor signs of healing with progressed inflammation along the period of study.

Besides its antimicrobial activity, silver was proven to have other beneficial effects on the wound bed. A number of the biochemical effects of silver on the wound have been documented, there was important relationship between tissue destruction by a group of collagenase enzymes known as MMP and tissue synthesis which is stimulated by growth factors. It is well recognized that MMP were needed to heal a wound, but excess levels of MMP degrade fibronectin and peptide growth factors. This effect is exacerbated further by diminished levels of tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinase (TIMPs) Silver-based technologies (40). in particular provide added benefits by down-regulating MMPs to levels that facilitate wound healing (38).

Wright et al noted reduced levels of MMP and a higher frequency of apoptosis in a porcine model of contaminated wounds treated with nanocrystalline silver confirming that silver alters the inflammatory events in the wound (41). The present study showed that AgNPs accelerate wound healing and this acceleration is more noticeable in all groups contain AgNPs triple combination especially in (AgNPs, gramicidin and blue light). Reportedly, AgNPs are responsible for the time required reducing for hyperactive cells (myofibroblasts) involved in generation of contractile force in the wound and reverse the inflammatory processes more quickly compared to antibiotic application (42,43).

Topical application of AgNPs effectively enhanced the remodeling of

wounds area and diameter and skin macroscopic appearance in rat. In this study, it was observed that 7 days after treatment, in triple combination groups treated, total and S. aureus bacterial loads reduced significantly. AgNPs, gramicidin and blue light were more effective than other treated groups AgNPs in reducing bacterial loads, so total and S. aureus amounts were 0 CFU/gm in day 21 after wound induction. In control group, 21 days after wound induction, the amounts of total bacteria and 7 days after wound induction, the amounts of S. aureus increased, so they were uncountable in plate. This difference in total and S. aureus amounts may be due to antibacterial activities of AgNPs against gram-negative and grampositive bacteria, and this result was in agreement with Ibrahim et al results who reported the antibacterial activity of the ecofreindly synthesized AgNPs against pathogenic Staph.aureus in dose dependent manner. There is no clinical study about the effects of AgNPs on bacterial load, however, the in vitro antibacterial effects of AgNPs are well documented (44).

### Conclusion

From the results we can conclude therapy of topical the triple combination of AgNPs, visible blue light and antimicrobial peptides promote wound healing process in diabetic infected wound in a short period of time. A new approach can be used to combat serious infections caused by MRSA by combining AgNPs, blue light, and antibiotics.

#### References

- Cheng Y. J.; Imperatore, G, Geiss, L. S.; Wang, J, Saydah, S. H. and Cowie, C.C. (2013). Secular changes in the age-specific prevalence of diabetes among U.S. adults: 1988– 2010. Diabetes Care. pp ;36:2690– 2696.
- Saraswathi, R.; Velayutharaj, A. and Noyal, M. J. (2015). Occurrence of Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase (ESBL) Producers in Post Operative Wound Infection. Sch. J. App. Med. Sci., 3(2G):995-999.
- Badia, J.M.; Badia, A.L.; Casey, N.; Petrosillo, P.M.; Hudson, S.A.; Mitchell, C. and Crosby. (2017). Impact of surgical site infection on healthcare costs and patient outcomes: a systematic review in six European countries. Journal of Hospital Infection 96:1-15.
- Etok, C. A.; Edem, E, N. and Ochang, E. (2012). Aetiology and antimicrobial studies of surgical wound infections in University of Uyo Teaching Hospital (UUTH) Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. 1:341.
- Murugan, S.; Mani, K.R. and Uma Devi P. (2008). Prevalence of Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus among Diabetes Patients with Foot Ulcers and their Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research .2: 979-984.
- Anstead, G.M.; Cadena, J. and Javeri, H. (2014). Treatment of Infections Due to Resistant Staphylococcus aureus. In: Ji Y. (eds) Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) Protocols. Methods in Molecular Biology (Methods and Protocols), vol 1085. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ.
- Akram, F.; El-Tayeb, T.; Abou-Aisha, Kh. and El-Azizi M. (2016). A combination of silver nanoparticles and visible blue light enhances the

antibacterial efficacy of ineffective antibiotics against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA). Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob., 15: 48.

- Nour El Din, S.; El-Tayeb, T. A.; Abou-Aisha Kh. and El-Azizi, M. (2016). In vitro and in vivo antimicrobial activity of combined therapy of silver nanoparticles and visible blue light against Pseudomonas aeruginosa. International Journal of Nanomedicine:11,1749–1758.
- Franci, G.; Falanga, A.; Galdiero, S.; Palomba, L.; Rai, M.; Morelli, G. and Galdiero M.(2015). Silver nanoparticles as potential antibacterial agents. Molecules. 2015;20:8856– 8874.
- Ansari, M.; Khan, H.; Khan, A.; Malik, A.; Sultan, A. and Shahid M, et al.(2011). Evaluation of antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles against MSSA and MRSA on isolates from skin infections. Biol Med.;3(2):141–6.
- 11. Abdel Rahim, K.A. and Mohamed, A.M. (2015). Bactericidal and antibiotic synergistic effect of against nanosilver methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Jundishapur J Microbiol. 8(11): 25867.
- Tianhong, D.; Asheesh, G.; Clinton, K.M.; Mark, S.V.; George, P.T. and Michael, R.H.(2012). Blue light for infectious diseases: *Propionibacterium acnes, Helicobacter pylori*, and beyond. Drug Resist Updat. 15(4):223–36.
- Maclean, M.; McKenzie, K.; Anderson, J.G.; Gettinby, G. and MacGregor, SJ.(2014). 405 nm light technology for the inactivation of pathogens and its potential role for environmental disinfection and infection control. J Hosp Infect. 88(1):1–11.
- 14. Enwemeka, C.S.; Williams, D.; Enwemeka, S.K.; Hollosi, S. and Yens, D.(2009). Blue 470-nm light kills methicillin-

resistant *Staphylococcus* 

*aureus* (MRSA) in vitro. Photomed Laser Surg. 27(2):221–6.

- 15. Halstead, F.D.; Thwaite, J.E.; Burt, R.; Laws, T.R.; Raguse, M. and Moeller, R, et al.(2016). Antibacterial activity of blue light against nosocomial wound pathogens growing planktonically and as mature biofilms. Appl Environ Microbiol. 82(13):4006– 40016.
- 16. Tanideh, N.; Rokhsari, P. and Mehrabani, D. et al.(2014). The effect of licorice healing on Pseudomonas aeruginosa infected burn wounds in experimental rat model. World J Plast Surg . 3(2): 99-106.
- Heikkila, R.E. (1988). The prevention of alloxan-induced diabetes in mice by dimethyl sulfoxide, *Eur J Pharmacol*, 44(2), 191-93.
- Michael, L.C.; Xianfeng, C.HH. and Mark, A.F, *et al.*(2013). Elastic modulus and viscoelastic properties of full thickness skin characterised at micro scales. Biomaterials, 34: 2087-2097.
- 19. Jiang, B.; Larson, J.C. and Drapala, P.W, *et al.*(2012). Investigation of lysine acrylate containing poly(Nisopropylacrylamide) hydrogels as wound dressings in normal and infected wounds. J Bio Mat Res, 100: 668-676.
- 20. Williams, R.L.; Sroussi, H.Y. and Abercrombie, J.J, *et al.*(2012). Synthetic decapeptide reduces bacterial load and accelerates healing in the wounds of restraint-stressed mice. Brain Behav Immun, 26(4): 588-596.
- 21. Weir, E.; Lawlor, A. and Whelan, A, *et al.*(2008). The use of nanoparticles in anti-microbial materials and their characterization. The Analyst, 133(7): 835-845.
- 22. SAS, institute,(2012).*Statistical analysis system*.SAS Users Guide:Statistical

version,9.12.end.,SAS institute Inc. Release 9.12 Tsozo, North Carolina state University of Cary, NC, U.S.A.

- 23. Etheridge, M.L.; Campbell, S.A.; Erdman, A.G.; Haynes, C.L.; Wolf, S.M.; McCullough, J. (2013). The big picture on nanomedicine: the state of investigational and approved nanomedicine products. Nanomedicine nanotechnology. Biol. Med. 9, 1–14
- 24. Pal, S.; Tak, Y. K. and Song, J. M. (2007). Does the antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles depend on the shape of the nanoparticle? A study of the Gram-negative bacterium Escherichia coli. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73, 1712–1720.
- 25. Mohammadi R.; Rabbanib S.H.: Bahramic H.: et al. (2016). performance Antibacterial and in *vivo* diabetic wound healing of loaded curcumin gum tragacanth/poly( $\epsilon$ -caprolactone) electrospun nanofibers. Mat Sci Eng., 69: 1183-1191.
- 26. Anand K.K.H. and Mandal B.K. (2015). Activity study of biogenic spherical silver nanoparticles towards microbes and oxidants. Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc., 135: 639-645.
- 27. Kazemi J.; Ahmadi M.; Dastmalchi Saei H; *et al.* (2014). Antibacterial effect of silver nanoparticles along with protein synthesis-inhibiting antibiotics on *Staphylo-coccus aureus* isolated from cattle mastitis. Bio J Micro., 2(8): 15-22.
- 28. Garg, S., A. Chandra, A. Mazumder and R. Mazumder, (2014). Analgesic potential of hydrogels of silver nanoparticles using aqueous extract of *Saraca indica* bark. Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Res., 5: 240-245.
- Ahmad N.; Bhatnagar S.; Ali, S. S.; Dutta R. (2015). Phytofabrication of bioinduced silver nanoparticles for biomedical applications. International Journal of Nanomedicine, 10: 7019– 7030.

- 30. Tian J.; Wong K.K.; Ho C.M. (2007).Topical delivery of silver nanoparticles promotes wound healing. Chem Med Chem., 2(1):129– 136.
- 31. Habiboallah, G.; Mahdi, Z.; Majid, Z.; Nasroallah, S.; Taghavi, A. M.; Forouzanfar, A.; Arjmand, N. (2014). Enhancement of Gingival Wound Healing by Local Application of Silver Nanoparticles Periodontal Dressing Following Surgery: A Histological Assessment in Animal Model. Modern Research in Inflammation, 03(03), 128–138.
- 32. Heydarnejad M. S.; Rahnam S.; Mobini-Dehkordi M.; Yarmohammadi P.; Aslnai H. (2014). Sliver nanoparticles accelerate skin wound healing in mice (Mus musculus) through suppression of innate immune system. Nanomed J, Vol. 1, No. 2, page 79-87.
- 33. Adhya A.; Chattopadhyay D.; Bain J.; Ray O.; Majumdar B.K.; Ray S. (2016). Indian Journal of Medical Research and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 3(1) :36-44.
- 34. Salih, A. N. A. ; Ibrahim, O. M. S. and Eesa, M. J. (2016). Biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles and evaluate its activity in promoting burns healing in rabbits. Al-Anbar J. Vet. Sci., Vol.: 9 No. (2): 47-58.
- 35. Dai T.; Tanaka M.; Huang Y.Y.; Hamblin R. (2011). Chitosan preparations for wounds and burns: antimicrobial and woundhealing effects. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 9(7): 857–79.
- 36. Robson MC. (1997). Wound infection. A failure of wound healing caused by an imbalance of bacteria. Surg. Clin. North Am. 77(3), 637–650.
- 37. Wright J.B.; Hansen D.L.; Burrell R.E. (1998). The Comparative efficacy of

two antimicrobial barrier dressings: in vitro examination of two controlled release of silver dressings. Wounds, 10 (6): 179–188.

- 38. Warriner R and Burrell R. (2005). Infection and the chronic wound: a focus on silver. Adv Skin Wound Care;18(8):2–12.
- 39. Atiyeh, B. S.; Costagliola, M.; Hayek, S. N. and Dibo, S. A. (2007). Effect of silver on burn wound infection control and healing: Review of the literature. Burns 33: 139–148.
- 40. Martins V. L.; Caley M.; O'Toole E. A. (2016). Matrix metalloproteinases and epidermal wound repair. Cell and Tissue Research., 351(2):255–268.
- 41. Wright, J. B.; Lam, K.; Buret, A. G.; Olson, M. E.; & Burrell, R. E. (2002). Early healing events in a porcine model of contaminated wounds: effects of nanocrystalline silver on matrix metalloproteinases, cell apoptosis, and healing. Wound Repair and Regeneration, 10(3), 141–151.
- 42. Lu, P.Y. and Lee, C.M.(2010). Silver nanoparticles mediate differential responses in keratinocytes and fibroblasts during skin wound healing. Chem Med Chem; 5(3): 468-475.
- 43. Karim, R.Z.; Adnan, R. and Ansari, M.S.(2012). Low concentration of silver nanoparticles not only enhances the activity of horseradish peroxidase but alter the structure also. PLoS ONE; 7(7): 14-22.
- 44. Ibrahim, O. M. S.; Saliem, A. H. and Salih, S. I. (2016). Antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles synthesized by Cinnamon zeylanicum bark extract against Staphylococcus aureus. Al-Anbar J. Vet. Sci., Vol.: 9 No. (1):22-36.